# ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: TRENDS, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

***Dusmatov Jasurbek Umidjon ugli***

*Senior specialist at the Training Institute for Lawyers*

**Abstract:** Governments worldwide are increasingly exploring artificial intelligence (AI) to transform public administration, improve service delivery, and enhance policy-making. This article surveys contemporary trends in AI adoption by public agencies, drawing on international studies and country examples (USA, EU, Japan, South Korea, Uzbekistan). It highlights AI's promise to boost efficiency, citizen engagement, and data-driven governance, while analyzing legal, ethical, technical and social challenges such as bias, privacy, infrastructure gaps, and workforce readiness. We examine future prospects – for instance, AI-powered state services, strategic analytics, and e-government chatbots – and underscore the need for robust governance and public trust. Perspectives from experts (OECD, World Bank, UNDP) emphasize that governments must balance innovation with oversight. Finally, we review Uzbekistan's national AI strategy (Resolution PP-358) and *Digital Uzbekistan – 2030* initiative, which set concrete targets (e.g. $1.5 billion AI market, 10% of e-services using AI) and institutional measures (big data platform, AI coordination center) to accelerate AI in the public sector. This comprehensive analysis informs policymakers and scholars about the evolving AI–governance landscape and the road ahead.

***Keywords:*** *Artificial intelligence, Public administration, Digital government, E-government, Ethics, Governance, Uzbekistan, OECD, UNDP, World Bank.*

## INTRODUCTION

Global adoption of AI in the public sector is accelerating. Governments play multiple roles – as enablers, regulators, users and funders – in this transformation.

The OECD notes that "AI, including generative AI, has the potential to transform how governments function, design policies, and provide services" if used strategically and responsibly. In practice, countries are embedding AI into internal processes and citizen-facing services. For example, a U.S. survey found that over half of federal, state and local officials use AI tools daily, aiming to streamline operations and decision-making. Similarly, the EU is exploring AI in health, mobility, e-government and education to boost analytic capacity and efficiency. In Asia, South Korea has proclaimed a shift from digital platforms to an "AI Government," building a shared GenAI infrastructure for agencies. These cases reflect a broad trend: governments worldwide are treating AI as a strategic priority to enhance productivity and citizen services. For instance, Deloitte envisions AI improving report generation, case management, and knowledge sharing in government, while technology firms highlight chatbots and data analytics as tools for a more responsive state.

## METHODS

At the same time, experts emphasize that AI adoption must be coupled with caution. Across jurisdictions, leaders are issuing policy and guidance on AI risk management. In the United States, the Biden administration's 2023 Executive Order (EO 14110) and subsequent OMB memos have embedded principles of fairness, transparency, and privacy into government AI use. The U.S. Government Accountability Office reported that by mid-2024 federal agencies had "fully carried out" the EO's initial management and talent requirements, setting up AI councils, guidance, and talent programs. Likewise, the European Commission has commissioned studies to understand barriers in e-government AI and is finalizing an AI Act. A recent EU study found that while AI could "enhance citizen-government interactions" and efficiencies in key services, uptake is hindered by *"complex public procurement processes, difficulties in data management, [and] a lack of regulatory clarity"*. OECD research concurs that governments are crafting national strategies,

data governance frameworks and standards to foster a "safe, secure and trustworthy" AI environment.

## RESULTS

**Challenges:** Despite potential gains, AI deployment in government faces substantial hurdles. Ethically and legally, public sector AI must guard against bias, discrimination, and opacity. The OECD cautions that unchecked AI can "amplify bias, lack transparency, and breach privacy," leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes. For instance, algorithmic systems in policing or benefits decisions have raised equity concerns worldwide. Policymakers thus stress AI governance, accountability and redress mechanisms. Data privacy regulations (e.g. GDPR in Europe) constrain public AI use unless privacy is built in.

Technical barriers are also significant, especially in developing contexts. The World Bank notes that many governments lack the digital infrastructure and data readiness needed for AI. Low awareness and limited digital skills in the civil service are cited as top impediments. In Bank client countries, "inadequate foundational digital technologies, low availability or quality of data, and low access to digital skills" are major limits on AI use. Similarly, surveys show governments often struggle with legacy IT systems and fragmented data silos. Procuring advanced compute (GPU clusters) and integrating AI with existing systems pose fiscal and expertise challenges.

Social and organizational factors also constrain AI's reach. Public trust in AI-driven decisions remains uneven. An NCSL analysis of the U.S. context found that unclear governance or ethical frameworks was the top barrier (48%) to government AI use. Workers and citizens may resist automation of services without adequate transparency. Moreover, introducing AI often demands new skills; governments are anxious about the digital divide between well-resourced agencies and those lagging behind. As UNDP observes, many institutions "are being asked to regulate what they barely have the bandwidth to understand". The UNDP highlights a tension:

governments are urged to experiment with AI, yet institutional capacity (for procurement, oversight and citizen engagement) can lag. Without deliberate measures, AI pilots risk reinforcing existing inequalities or privileging external vendors.

**Future Prospects:** Looking ahead, AI promises to reshape public administration in several ways. In service delivery, natural language chatbots and virtual assistants could provide 24/7 citizen support and simplify interactions. For example, early pilots in Korea include ChatGPT-powered chatbots to train transit employees and mobile apps that offer AI-driven advice to farmers. AI can also personalize government communications and triage requests by urgency or need. Generative AI tools are being explored to auto-draft documents: Korea's procurement service uses GenAI to help draft RFPs, while IP offices use AI to analyze patents. In health, AI image analysis and predictive models could optimize diagnostics and resource allocation (consistent with Uzbekistan's plan for AI in healthcarec). In transportation, smart traffic systems and autonomous vehicles (cited in the EU study) may emerge as mature domains for public AI use.

Beyond services, AI offers powerful analytics for strategic decision-making. Governments can use machine learning to detect fraud (as cited by Deloitte and the World Bank), forecast budgetary or epidemiological trends, and optimize supply chains (e.g. disaster response logistics). Data-driven policy design could become more dynamic, with AI identifying emerging patterns from citizen data. However, experts urge that human oversight remain central: UNDP stresses that AI must "support – not replace – public decision-making," and that systems be co-designed with frontline staff and communities.

Crucially, the future of AI in government depends on trust and inclusion. OECD and UN reports emphasize that AI systems in the public sector must be "human-centric" and co-governed. The new UNDP Human Development Report (2025) and Global AI Summits have echoed the call for AI that is "trustworthy,

inclusive, and democratically governed". In practice, this means embedding ethics and transparency from the start: performing algorithmic impact assessments, engaging citizens in oversight, and legislating clear accountability for automated decisions. Institutional capacity-building is key: training civil servants in AI literacy, appointing Chief AI Officers (as in the US), and creating multi-agency councils to share best practices. The OECD finds many governments are already institutionalizing such roles and frameworks.

**Regional and International Perspectives:** Approaches vary by region, reflecting political cultures and policy environments. In the United States, a flurry of federal actions underscores a push for enterprise-wide AI integration. Beyond the Executive Order and OMB guidance, U.S. agencies have requested increased R&D budgets (over $1.9 billion in FY2024) and embraced NIST's AI Risk Management Framework. Both Congress and state legislatures are considering laws on AI inventories, impact assessments and procurement standards, indicating a governance-driven mindset.

The European Union stresses both opportunity and caution. The EU's new AI Act (in force August 2024) will regulate high-risk public sector AI, and the Commission funds projects like the *Adopt AI* study (Sept 2024) which identified procurement and data challenges. EU research also highlights organizational enablers: a Joint Research Centre survey of public managers in seven countries concluded that leadership support, a clear AI strategy and in-house expertise are critical to AI uptake. It recommends that governments invest not only in technology but in ethics and legal training for officials. EU member states have begun launching AI offices (e.g. France's Interministerial AI Task Force) and exploring cross-border data sharing within the Digital Single Market.

In Asia, Japan and South Korea illustrate contrasting styles. Japan's *Society 5.0* vision drives a human-centric, incremental AI adoption. Its newly issued guideline (May 2025) explicitly encourages generative AI use in government

processes “for the sake of evolution and innovation,” while emphasizing risk management. Japan is also building government cloud infrastructure to democratize AI development (in partnership with private cloud providers, as AWS reports). South Korea, by contrast, is rapidly centralizing AI innovation: the National Information Society Agency (NIA) is creating a *government-wide* GenAI platform. In April 2024 Korea released principles prioritizing private-sector cloud and Korean LLMs for public use, aiming to accelerate AI rollout via public–private partnership. Korean examples include AI tools for public procurement and labor-law guidance, and initiatives to open government data to drive AI innovation. These illustrate a dynamic, experimental approach.

Global institutions echo these themes. The World Bank urges developing countries to see AI as a development tool but warns of a “digital divide” in readiness. It identifies use cases (fraud detection, personalized services, analytics) and calls for ethical principles and supporting institutions as outlined in chapter four of its public sector AI report. The OECD recommends that governments act on all fronts: as users of AI to improve efficiency, and as regulators to ensure fairness. UNDP emphasizes that AI should be shaped by strong public institutions. A recent UNDP Asia-Pacific commentary argues that without “coherent digital ecosystems and contextual governance,” rushing AI adoption risks exclusion. It proposes that experimentation and oversight co-evolve, so that policy frameworks are informed by on-the-ground learning.

**Uzbekistan’s Strategy:** Uzbekistan provides a national perspective. In October 2024, the President approved Resolution PP-358, formalizing the *Strategy for the Development of AI Technologies until 2030*. This strategy explicitly ties into the country’s broader *Digital Uzbekistan – 2030* agenda. Key target indicators were set: by 2030 Uzbekistan aims for a $1.5 billion annual volume of AI-based products/services and 10% of public services delivered via AI-enhanced e-

government platforms. The strategy also commits Uzbekistan to enter the top 50 of the global *Government AI Readiness Index*.

To achieve these goals, the resolution mandates concrete actions. It tasks ministries to create a unified "Big Data" repository by Sept 2025 and to launch high-performance computing infrastructure by 2026. A special Coordination Commission under the *Digital Uzbekistan 2030* strategy (chaired by the Prime Minister) is charged with overseeing AI projects and ensuring their transparency and competitiveness. The law also establishes a new *Center for the Development of Artificial Intelligence and the Digital Economy*, which will evaluate AI systems and coordinate data-sharing among agencies. In sectors like banking, tax, healthcare, agriculture and energy, Uzbekistan has prioritized AI use cases (fraud prevention, diagnostics, resource forecasting, etc.). A $50 million state loan was allocated to subsidize AI development starting 2025. In summary, Uzbekistan's strategy illustrates a comprehensive approach: it sets clear metrics, allocates funding, mandates data infrastructure, and embeds AI within a national digital transformation plan.

## CONCLUSION/DISCUSSION

The current trajectory suggests that AI will play an ever-greater role in public administration. When implemented thoughtfully, AI can make government more efficient, data-driven, and responsive. Examples from around the world show potential benefits: streamlined services, smarter decision support, and novel citizen engagement channels. However, realizing these gains requires navigating serious challenges. Ethical governance, legal safeguards, technical capacity, and public trust are essential prerequisites. The consensus among scholars and international organizations is that AI must be approached as a public policy capability: governed proactively and iteratively, not simply adopted off-the-shelf. Governments should prioritize inclusive AI by design – involving civil servants, technologists, and citizens in creating AI systems that reflect public values. The experiences of the US,

EU, Japan, Korea and Uzbekistan suggest that multi-stakeholder collaboration (public–private partnerships, cross-border knowledge-sharing) is key. In coming years, we expect continued investment in AI expertise within governments, new norms of transparency (e.g. AI registries, impact assessments), and international dialogue on best practices. Ultimately, the promise is to transform state services and policymaking in ways that enhance welfare and democracy. This will require a "human-centered" AI path, as Japan's *Society 5.0* envisions, and as global leaders have urged, treating AI as a public asset to be stewarded carefully.

## REFERENCES

1. European Commission. (2024, September 23). *EU study calls for strategic AI adoption to transform public sector services*. Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content and Technology. Retrieved from https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/eu-study-calls-strategic-ai-adoption-transform-public-sector-services

2. European Commission, Joint Research Centre. (2024, November 25). *AI adoption in the public sector: a new study on key influencing factors and two frameworks for competencies and governance*. JRC News. Retrieved from https://ai-watch.ec.europa.eu

3. Hooshidary, S., Canada, C., & Clark, W. (2024, November 22). *Artificial Intelligence in Government: The Federal and State Landscape*. National Conference of State Legislatures. Retrieved from https://www.ncsl.org

4. Japan, Digital Agency. (2025, May 27). *Guideline for Japanese Governments' Procurements and Utilizations of Generative AI for the sake of Evolution and Innovation of Public Administration*. Government of Japan. Retrieved from https://www.digital.go.jp/en/news/3579c42d-b11c-4756-b66e-3d3e35175623

5. OECD. (2024). *Governing with Artificial Intelligence: Are Governments Ready?* OECD Public Governance Papers No. 20. Paris: OECD Publishing.

6. Thian, S. Y. (2024). *GovMesh Digest: South Korea's quest to move from Digital Platform Government to AI Government*. GovInsider Asia. Retrieved from https://govinsider.asia

7. U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2024, September 9). *Artificial Intelligence: Agencies Are Implementing Management and Personnel Requirements* (GAO-24-107332). GAO Reports. Retrieved from https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-24-107332

8. United Nations Development Programme, Regional Innovation & Digital Team (Asia-Pacific). (2025, May 21). *Why AI in the Public Sector Must Be Shaped, Not Just Deployed*. UNDP Blog. Retrieved from https://www.undp.org/asia-pacific/blog/why-ai-public-sector-must-be-shaped-not-just-deployed

9. World Bank. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence in the Public Sector: Summary Note*. World Bank Group. Retrieved from https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/746721616045333426/artificial-intelligence-in-the-public-sector-summary-note

10. Republic of Uzbekistan, Presidential Administration. (2024, October 14). *Resolution No. PP-358: On the approval of the Strategy for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies until 2030*. (English translation). Retrieved from https://lex.uz/en/docs/7159258