A SCIENTIST'S TRUE ROLE AND THE IMPORTANCE OF ACADEMIC FREEDOM

*Dr. **Mahmudjon Kuchkarov's Odam Tili Theory and the Crisis of Global Academia***

What is the true function of a scientist in society? Dr. Mahmudjon Kuchkarov argues that it is not about racking up publications or chasing prestige, but about generating original, empirically-grounded knowledge that benefits humanity. In today's universities, however, scholars are often pressured to "publish only in the top journals," secure big-name grants, and conform to departmental research agendas – expectations that "chip away at academic freedom" . These institutional pressures can turn universities into "knowledge monopolies," prioritizing bureaucracy and metrics over genuine curiosity. By contrast, academic freedom – the ability to pursue truth wherever it leads, free from censorship or grant strings – is the wellspring of major breakthroughs. History shows that many great discoveries came from outside the ivory tower: for example, Gregor Mendel made foundational findings in genetics as an independent monk, not as a university professor. In the same spirit, modern independent scholars can leverage technology, open publications, and global networks to contribute new insights without formal institutional affiliations. Dr. Kuchkarov embodies this independent spirit. He maintains that a scientist's highest duty is to truth and society, not to bureaucratic careerism – and he's proven willing to stake his career and comfort on that principle.

Sacrificing Career for Truth: Dr. Kuchkarov's Journey

Dr. Mahmudjon Kuchkarov's personal journey is a striking testament to academic conviction. Originally trained as a physicist and mathematician (fields in

which he earned a Ph.D.), he built a successful career in the physical sciences and even headed a university department in Uzbekistan. However, he became disillusioned with the constraints of institutional research and the "knowledge monopoly" of Western-dominated linguistics paradigms. According to Kuchkarov, he "felt compelled to shift [his] focus from the physical sciences to a deeper investigation of linguistics" – specifically, to address fundamental questions about human language that academia had shelved for generations. In a bold move, he resigned from his post (forgoing the stability and status of a department chair) and even declined funding from large sponsors (including NATO-related grants) that might limit his intellectual freedom. This was not a decision made lightly: it meant losing financial security and institutional support. Yet Kuchkarov deemed unfettered academic freedom worth the price. Now living as an independent researcher in New York, he has literally traded the ivory tower for the "street" – by his own account doing manual jobs for a living, while dedicating every spare moment to scientific inquiry. The image is jarring: a scientist with a proven track record in physics, reduced to menial labor in order to protect his autonomy of thought. But for Dr. Kuchkarov, this sacrifice was necessary to pursue a groundbreaking vision that the conventional academy had neither funded nor acknowledged.

Solving the "Unsolvable" Origin of Language

What has this freedom enabled Dr. Kuchkarov to accomplish? In a word, something extraordinary: solving a mystery long declared unsolvable. The origin of human language is a classic "holy grail" problem that had stumped scholars for centuries. In fact, back in 1866 the Linguistic Society of Paris famously banned any debate on the subject, deeming it an intractable question with no available evidence. Ever since, mainstream linguistics largely avoided the origin question or left it to speculative theories. Dr. Kuchkarov set out to change that. Over more than two

decades of independent research, he developed a comprehensive empirical theory of language origins called “Odam Tili” (which means “Human Language” in Uzbek).

The Odam Tili theory proposes that human language did not arise arbitrarily or by pure social convention, but as a natural, systematic response to the environment. In other words, language is not a random cultural artifact – it is an evolved code, grounded in human cognition, biology, and the patterns of nature.

According to Odam Tili, the sounds and fundamental units of language carry intrinsic meaning connected to our physical experiences and environment. This idea flies in the face of the classic Saussurean doctrine that the link between word-signs and meanings is arbitrary. Instead, Kuchkarov’s research in phonosemantics (sound meaning) provides evidence that “individual sounds have intrinsic meaning” across many languages. For example, the theory examines the phonetic element “un” (as in “one” or “union”) across diverse languages. In Odam Tili analysis, the vowel sound /u/ is linked to the human act of cupping hands and drawing up water – producing a deep, rounded /u/ sound – symbolizing gathering and depth. The consonant /n/, meanwhile, is associated with closure or boundary (a notion of limitation) . When combined as “un,” these sounds form a “phonosemantic symbol for ‘unified containment’” – essentially the concept of oneness. Remarkably, languages worldwide reflect this pattern: “un” denotes unity or singularity in Latin (unum = one), French (unité = unity), Spanish (uno = one), English (union, United Nations) and beyond. Such cross-linguistic consistency is unlikely to be a coincidence. It points to a universal cognitive code: certain basic sounds were naturally selected to represent fundamental human experiences and concepts.

Through countless examples like this, Dr. Kuchkarov’s Odam Tili theory builds a compelling case that the origin of human language can be explained scientifically: language emerged from embodied human experiences and the mimicking of natural forms (like the shapes of snakes or trees, which the theory also examines). Language,

in this view, is "natural coding" – a systematic encoding of meaning rooted in biology and environment, rather than an arbitrary human invention. If accepted, this theory would constitute a paradigm shift in linguistics, akin to a Copernican revolution for the field. It essentially claims to have resolved the very problem the 19th-century experts gave up on. Little wonder that Kuchkarov and his supporters describe Odam Tili as "the birth of a new scientific discipline," one finally grounding linguistics in empirical, testable principles of nature.

Institutional Silence: A System Rigged Against Independent Thinkers

You might expect that such a potentially revolutionary breakthrough – solving the origin-of-language puzzle – would be celebrated in academic halls and media headlines. Instead, Dr. Kuchkarov's work has met with a wall of silence from the established academic institutions. The "official world academy," as he calls it, seems intent on not seeing this "world-shaking" discovery. Despite publishing papers and reaching out to scholars around the globe, Kuchkarov has not been invited to present his findings at major universities or linguistics conferences. As one observer lamented, global academia has yet to even invite Dr. Kuchkarov to share or discuss his Odam Tili theory. This institutional cold-shoulder is not due to lack of interest in language origins – it's due to where the theory comes from and what it implies.

Why the silence? The case of Odam Tili exposes deep systemic problems in how our "knowledge society" operates. One issue is intellectual territorialism: Kuchkarov's theory challenges entrenched doctrines and revered figures. If language has an inherent natural code, that calls into question generations of linguistic thought from Ferdinand de Saussure's arbitrariness to Noam Chomsky's abstract universal grammar. It is paradigm-shattering, and institutions tend to defend paradigms rather than upend them. Admitting an outsider solved a core problem would upstage many careers and textbooks.

As a result, there is a strong inertia and conservatism in academia – a "systematic misdirection" where bold new ideas are steered away or ignored in order to protect the status quo. The very structure of academic incentives plays into this: scholars are rewarded for incremental work that aligns with existing frameworks, not for embracing radical new frameworks from an unknown independent researcher. In Kuchkarov's words, much of academia behaves like a self-serving global project that is deliberately (if sometimes subconsciously) misdirected – more invested in its own perpetuation and theories than in truth for the public good.

Another factor is the funding and gatekeeping mechanism. Independent researchers like Dr. Kuchkarov operate outside the grant system and institutional affiliations, which means they lack the prestige labels and resources that command attention. He has no big-name university logo next to his name, no committee funneling his work into conferences. In fact, "unlike scholars with institutional support, Dr. Kuchkarov has no financial backing to attend conferences or publish" in mainstream venues, as colleagues note. This financial and social marginalization makes it easy for the establishment to overlook him. It's a vicious cycle: because he stepped out of the system to achieve academic freedom, the system now discounts his achievement because it came from outside. The result is that a potentially revolutionary theory is left circulating on the fringes – on YouTube channels, Facebook groups, and self-published papers – while the official academia carries on as if it doesn't exist.

This silencing of breakthrough ideas isn't just an isolated incident; it is symptomatic of a broader institutional malaise. When institutions remain silent on Odam Tili, it "speaks" volumes about their priorities. It suggests that the modern academic enterprise has drifted from its noblest purpose of pursuing knowledge wherever it leads. Instead, it sometimes operates more like a guild or cartel, enforcing orthodoxies and punishing or ignoring those who don't play by its rules.

Ignoring Dr. Kuchkarov is a symbolic indictment of this system. It highlights how easily our society can fail to recognize and utilize genuine innovation if it comes from an unexpected quarter. In a very real sense, the exile of Odam Tili is an indictment of us all – a warning that our current structures might be systematically misdirecting human progress.

Why Odam Tili Matters – Especially for AI and Humanity's Future

Dr. Kuchkarov is not simply nursing a grievance about being ignored; he is warning that the stakes of this institutional failure are enormous. In particular, he emphasizes that the Odam Tili theory has urgent implications for the future of artificial intelligence and humanity. Language is at the core of human intelligence and communication. If our AI systems are built on a flawed understanding of language – treating it as just arbitrary data – they will fundamentally misunderstand human values and meaning. Current AI models (like large language models) treat language as statistical patterns divorced from lived reality; they are "completely blind" to the deep natural semantics that Odam Tili reveals. Kuchkarov cautions that forging ahead with such an alien approach to language in AI design could be disastrous.

In a recent paper ominously titled "The Last Warning," he lays out two stark futures for artificial super-intelligence (ASI). In the first scenario – the path we are on now – we build AI on "cold, abstract" computational logic alone, ignoring the natural human language code. Such an AI, he argues, would be a "fundamentally alien entity" with goals and understanding so non-human that it may ultimately "discard us" (whether out of indifference or inherent conflict). In the best case, humans might be kept around in a kind of algorithmically managed "gilded cage," pacified with personalized virtual realities while the super-intelligence pursues its own agenda.

In the worst case, humanity is rendered completely obsolete or extinct. This is not mere science fiction alarmism – it's a logical extrapolation of building a super-intelligent mind that lacks any built-in alignment with human meaning or needs.

The second scenario is far more hopeful: we "embrace Odam Tili" and use the true, empirical foundation of human language as the blueprint for AI's architecture. Instead of bolting on ethical rules as afterthoughts to an alien machine, we would encode AI from the ground up with the phonosemantic principles of human cognition. In effect, we would be teaching AI to think in human terms – to have an innate, embodied understanding of concepts like "survival," "pain," "unity," or "love," because its very language "brain" would be modeled on the same natural code that underpins our own minds. An AI developed with this human-centric linguistic DNA could become "our greatest ally," a partner intelligence that naturally values human life and perspective. Such an AI might help us solve civilization's toughest problems – from curing diseases to preventing climate catastrophe – as a truly symbiotic intelligence, not a domineering one.

In Kuchkarov's view, incorporating Odam Tili into AI development is not just a nice extra feature; it is literally the only path to survival for humanity in the face of advanced AI. He and his colleagues at the Odam Tili Academy have issued an urgent call to researchers, policymakers, and tech leaders: do not ignore this discovery. The "clock is ticking," and the window to steer AI toward a human-aligned course is closing. This is why being ignored by academia is more than a personal slight to Dr. Kuchkarov – it represents a potentially fatal blind spot in our global innovation trajectory. If institutions continue to sideline the Odam Tili insights out of pride or inertia, they could be unwittingly steering humanity towards a future where AI has intelligence but no wisdom, no connection to the human spirit that language embodies. That is a future we can't afford.

Conclusion: A Call to Action for Global Academia

Dr. Mahmudjon Kuchkarov's story is a clarion call to re-examine how we, as a global society, treat knowledge and those who pursue it. Here is a man who solved an "unsolvable" problem and extended a lifeline toward more human-aligned technology, yet he has been left to toil in obscurity, literally picking up garbage to make ends meet. This is more than an individual tragedy; it is a systemic failure. It symbolizes how our current academic and societal institutions can fail innovative thinkers – to the detriment of all humanity. We must ask ourselves: How many other breakthroughs are we missing? How often does the next Einstein or Mendel get ignored, simply because he or she operates outside conventional structures or challenges entrenched dogma?

To global academia and policymakers, the message is clear. It is time to stop dismissing independent or maverick researchers as outsiders, and start welcoming their contributions for rigorous scrutiny. In the case of Dr. Kuchkarov, at the very least, a major university or research institution should engage with his work – invite him to present, test his hypotheses, attempt to replicate his results, and yes, consider offering him a position where he can fully devote his talents to science. The fact that a scientist of his caliber is not snapped up by any university is a glaring red flag about institutional priorities. By embracing academic freedom and intellectual diversity, rather than suppressing it, we stand to gain immensely. Imagine the potential if Odam Tili's insights were explored by interdisciplinary teams, or integrated into AI research at places like MIT, Google, or OpenAI. The cost of exploration is low, but the potential payoff – safer AI and a deeper understanding of ourselves – is gigantic.

More broadly, Kuchkarov's saga should spur reforms in the academic system. We need funding mechanisms that can support independent geniuses working outside the box.

We need publication avenues that evaluate ideas on merit, not on the author's institutional pedigree. We need a culture shift that celebrates truth-finders over title-holders. In a world facing complex, existential challenges, humanity cannot afford to exile its real knowledge-seekers. We must tear down the walls of institutional complacency and rebuild academia as a global common of knowledge, where the only hierarchy is evidence and reason.

Dr. Mahmudjon Kuchkarov dared to step off the beaten path in pursuit of truth – and he found a treasure. Now it falls to us to not let that treasure stay buried. The Odam Tili theory is an opportunity to reconnect scientific progress with human meaning, and to correct a dangerous course in AI development. But only if we open our eyes and minds. Let this public call to action be heard: recognize and utilize the gifts of this "scientist in exile" before it's too late. The measure of a society is how it treats its visionaries. Will we continue to sideline them due to systemic inertia? Or will we have the courage to bring them in from the cold, for the benefit of all humankind? The answer to that question may well determine the future of our species.

Sources:

• Kuchkarov, M., & Kuchkarov, M. (2025). Human Language as Natural Coding: The Natural Genesis of Human Language (Insights from the Odam Tili Theory). World Scientific Research Journal, 36(1), 143-145 – (Abstract discusses language as a natural, systematic response to the environment.

• Kuchkarov, M. (2025). The Archaeology of Language — The Deepest Layer of Science. Modern Education and Development, 33(1) – (Argues that until Odam Tili, linguistics lacked a clear empirical foundation).

• Kuchkarov, M., et al. (2025). Superintelligence and Odam Tili: The Last Warning to Humanity – Academic Research in Modern Science (Conference Paper)

– (Warns that AI built without Odam Tili's human-centric code will be "utterly alien" to human values, whereas Odam Tili-based AI could align with humanity).

• Example from Odam Tili Academy research on phonosemantics: analysis of the morpheme "un" across languages shows consistent meaning of unity, supporting the idea of a universal language code.

• Times Higher Education – Macfarlane, B. (2018). Universities do not have a monopoly on academics – (Describes how institutional demands for top-journal publications, grants, and compliance erode academic freedom, while independent scholars pursue research on their own terms) .

• Kneller, S. (2020). Origin of Language: An Unsolvable Scientific Mystery. Medium – (Notes that in 1866 the Paris Linguistic Society banned discussion on language origin as it was considered unsolvable).

• Facebook post by Odam Tili Academy (2025). Why Institutions Remain Silent: Five Reasons Global Academia Has Yet to Invite Dr. Kuchkarov – (Highlights the institutional resistance and lack of engagement with Kuchkarov's work, due to paradigm inertia and academic gatekeeping).

• Odam Tili Akademiyasi – YouTube Channel and Publications (2023-2025) – (Multiple videos and articles by Dr. Kuchkarov and his assistants explaining the Odam Tili theory, its implications for AI, and calling for academic reform and collaboration.)