



THE CONNECTION BETWEEN MIND, CULTURE, AND
LANGUAGE

Ilnazarova Shaxrizoda Sunattilayevna

Buxoro davlat universiteti

Xorijiy tillar fakulteti, Filiologiya va tillarni o'qitish: ingliz tili yo'nalishi

1-bosqich talabasi

Tel raqam: +998914421708

E-mail: ilnazarovashaxrizoda@gmail.com

Annotation: *This article aims to analyze the complex connection between the human mind, culture and languages. Through integrating cognitive subjects , linguistics , and cultural studies , paper explains and proves how language shapes mental processes and functions as a carrier of the culture with scientific facts and researches carried out in this field .Additionally , study illustrates intersection of cognition , linguistic expression and social norms .On a final stage, this article focuses on understanding the fact that mental structures ,cultural identity and language collaborate in constructing human experience.*

Key words: *linguistic diversity, linguistic expression, cultural values, worldwide formation, interstructural communication, cultural identity, language acquisition .*

Annotatsiya : *Ushbu maqolada inson ongining faoliyati, madaniy tizimlar va til tizimlari o'rtasidagi murakkab o'zaro bog'liqlik tahlil qilinadi. Kognitiv fanlar, tilshunoslik va madaniyatshunoslik nuqtai nazarlarining integratsiyasi orqali maqola tilning qanday qilib bir vaqtning o'zida mental jarayonlarni shakllantirishi va aks ettirishi, shuningdek, madaniy qadriyatlarning tashuvchisi bo'lib xizmat qilishini yoritadi. Tadqiqotda keltirilgan asosiy nazariy yondashuvlar va zamonaviy ilmiy topilmalar kognitsiya, ijtimoiy me'yorlar va lingvistik ifoda o'rtasidagi o'zaro ta'sirni namoyon qiladi. Yakunda, maqola inson tajribasini shakllantirishda mental*



tuzilmalar, madaniy identitet va tilning qanday o'zaro ta'sir qilishi borasida chuqurroq tushuncha shakllantirishga hissa qo'shishni maqsad qiladi .

Kalit so'zlar : Til xilma-xilligi, lingvistik ifoda, madaniy qadriyatlar, jahon miqyosida shakllanish, tuzilmalararo kommunikatsiya, madaniy identitet, tilni o'zlashtirish.

Аннотация: В данной статье исследуется многогранное взаимодействие человеческого разума, культурных структур и языковых систем. Опираясь на междисциплинарные подходы когнитивной науки, лингвистики и культурологии, работа раскрывает, каким образом язык одновременно формирует и отражает ментальные процессы, а также служит носителем культурных ценностей. В исследовании выделены ключевые теоретические модели и современные эмпирические данные, демонстрирующие взаимное влияние кондиции, социальных норм и языкового выражения. В конечном итоге статья стремится углубить понимание того, как ментальные структуры, культурная идентичность и язык взаимодействуют в процессе конструирования человеческого опыта.

Ключевые слова: языковое разнообразие, языковое выражение, культурные ценности, глобальное формирование, меж структурная коммуникация, культурная идентичность, усвоение языка.

There has been always an academic debate regarding the link between mind, culture and language, most of the scholars giving opposing and subjective views. Research considers the language not only as a tool for communication, but also as a phenomenon, societal and mental phenomenon, which is resulted from the human reasoning and social context. From my personal perspective, in order to comprehend the way individuals perceive the world, evaluate obstruct ideas and social engagement, it is essential to understand this interplay.

LITERATURE ANALYSIS

In the domains of anthropology, psychology and linguistics, the interrelationship between mind, language and culture has been examined. Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis, for example, shows that language effects on thinking patterns and



cognition. According to the view points of Sapir (1929) and Whorf (1956), linguistic structures shape the way individuals conceptualize the world. Modern scholars support weak linguistic determinism, since it is a language that controls intellectual tendency. Having said this, Vygotsky (1978), one of the important figures in this field, argues that language reflects social traditions and shared practices of the society.

Other researches also support the fact that another function of the language is to serve as a base for cultural knowledge. By habitually using the language, people can automatically learn cultural values, beliefs and social norms. In Uzbekistan, by constantly being exposed to proverbs such as “Kattaga hurmat bo’l, kichik bo’l”, one can absorb social expectations and social values.

From cognitive perspective, there is deep connection between language and mind. In other words, processing information requires symbolic system from the human mind, but at the corner of this system lies a language. Chomsky (2002) considers a language as an innate mental power, whereas cognitive linguists, including Lakoff (1980), hold different point of view, pointing out that physical experiences influence how we define words and concepts. Despite having several differences in their beliefs, both of them understate that mental representations are deeply embedded in linguistic categories. This leads us to think that language does not merely convey our opinions, but actively lives in their generation. For example, simply using metaphors (viewing a time as a rushing water) can also indicate how our mind uses the language when dealing with abstract reasoning.

Culture has a significant part in shaping a language. Every society develops unique set of linguistic rules according to its own historical experience, social hierarchy and worldview. In addition to the vocabulary, proverbs and idioms, language is burdened even with the grammatical forms. This idea was scientifically proved by the Veronica Telia (199), one of the important Russian linguists, who mentioned that culture is an interpretation of a linguacultural. This means linguistic differences across societies represent both structural variation and cultural ways of conceptualizing the world. In the Uzbek cultural context, for instance, in



communication emphasis is put on the respect, politeness and indirectness. In other words, individuals try to keep social harmony by avoiding direct disagreement or not trying to use offensive words. These patterns clearly show that culture has a direct effect on speaking style of the community.

After discussing this connection between language and mind, it is undeniably essential as to analyze how language transmits cultural identity as it was Edward Sapir who highlighted and proved the inseparability of the culture and language. Apart from reflecting a culture, language is an important component of cultural identity. To maintain social values, traditions and to pass knowledge from one generation to another, communities heavily depend on linguistic practices. In particular, numerous languages demonstrate the connection between cultural heritage and language. If a language slowly becomes isolated, then cultural rituals, traditions and values will be under risk as well. The good example of this statement can be seen in **Basque** (Spain & France) or **Burushaski** (Pakistan). Therefore, preserving a language has a vital role in sustaining cultural diversity. From personal observation with an Uzbek language, it can be seen that expressions and greetings often indicate historical practices. For example, the phrase “Assalom aleykum” is a reflection of a politeness and peacefulness and believes (religion).

In addition, the clear connection between thought and culture can be clearly demonstrated by the conceptual metaphors. As metaphors are the reflections of the cognitive process, the distinctions between metaphors among cultures reveal the different worldviews. In western languages time is usually related with money (invest time , save time , waster time) , while in Asian countries individuals describe time as a flowing process (time passes , its time will come and etc.). This actually refers to an endurance rather than control. These kind of metaphoric structures has a strong influence on how people plan, think and interact with their surroundings. Thus, cultural metaphors not only enrich the language, but form mental models by which the reality is perceived.

On top of this, education systems hold a special position in transmitting the knowledge and shaping cognitive improvements through a language. Teaching



materials, the language of instruction and classroom discourse patterns all have a significant contribution in how students acquire the knowledge and engage in the learning process. In particular, multilingual education increases mental flexibility, cultural inclusivity and supports deeper intellectual activeness. To make sure learners foster cultural awareness and linguistic competence teachers can bridge cognitive and linguistic diversity by using culturally responsive teaching methods. That is why education can be clearly defined as a mediator which connects language, culture, and mind in ways that determine individuals' personal and societal development.

The bottom line is that understanding the link between mind, culture and language is pivotal for shaping personality, cognitive development and personal communication. By evaluating the interactions between these domains, scientists can better comprehend the way linguistic structures encode cultural meaning and shape cultural practices. Integrating cultural studies, linguistic analysis and theories demonstrate that language is a both a product and a driver of the human experience. Appreciating this interval allows policymakers , linguists and educators to apply more culturally responsive strategies in every field of daily life . Ultimately, The primary of keeping social norms, traditions, and cultural diversity is to recognize the importance of this relationship.

REFERENCE

1. Sapir, E. (1921). *Language: An Introduction to the Study of Speech*.
2. Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, Thought, and Reality*. MIT Press.
3. Chomsky, N. (2002). *On Nature and Language*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press.
5. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*.
6. Ahrorovna, N. N. (2023). Analysis of Anthroponyms in English Linguistics. *Formation of Psychology and Pedagogy*, 2(24), 153–157.
7. Nazarova, N. (2023). Onimik Leksemalarning O‘ziga Xos Xususiyatlari. *BuxDU Ilmiy Nashrlari*, 34(34).



8. Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*.
9. Kramsch, C. (1998). *Language and Culture*. Oxford University Press.
10. Ahrorovna, N. N., & Niginabonu, Y. (2023). *Effective Strategies for Vocabulary Learning in Foreign Languages*. *Finland International Scientific Journal*, 11(5).
11. Telia V (1996). *Russian Phraseology : Semantic , Pragmatic , and Linguocultural Aspects*