PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS AND ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY

Bolbekova Ug'iloy Jaloliddinovna, *teacher at*
Samarqand Institute of Economics and Service
e-mail: *ugiloybolbekova@gmail.com*
Suponov Azizbek Farruxovich*student at*
Samarqand Institute of Economics and Service,
e-mail: *azizbeksuponov1@gmail.com*

Annotatsiya: *Davlat-xususiy sheriklik (DXSh) bugungi kunda jahon miqyosida infratuzilma va davlat xizmatlarini taqdim etishda keng qo‘llanilmoqda, biroq ularning iqtisodiy samaradorlikka ta’siri hanuzgacha ilmiy munozaralarga sabab bo‘lmoqda. Ushbu maqola DXShning samaradorlikni oshirishdagi rolini nazariy va empirik jihatdan tahlil qiladi. Xususan, “value for money” (pulga nisbatan qiymat), riskni taqsimlash va innovatsion rag‘batlar kabi omillar o‘rganiladi. Shuningdek, tranzaksiya xarajatlari, axborot assimetriyasi va uzoq muddatli shartnomalarda samaradorlikni o‘lchash murakkabliklari muhokama qilinadi. Natijalar shuni ko‘rsatadiki, to‘g‘ri tuzilgan DXSh loyihalari iqtisodiy samaradorlikni oshirishi mumkin, biroq bu jarayon samarali tartibga solish, shaffof tender tizimi va kuchli shartnoma boshqaruviga bog‘liq.*

Kalit so‘zlar: *Davlat-xususiy sheriklik, iqtisodiy samaradorlik, qiymat va xarajat, infratuzilma, risk transferi, shartnoma boshqaruvi, davlat sektori, xususiy sektor.*

Аннотация*: Государственно-частное партнёрство (ГЧП) всё чаще используется во всём мире для реализации инфраструктурных проектов и предоставления государственных услуг, однако его влияние на экономическую эффективность остаётся предметом научных дискуссий. В данной статье проводится критический анализ теоретических основ и эмпирических данных, касающихся способности ГЧП повышать эффективность. Особое внимание*

уделяется таким факторам, как соотношение цены и качества (value for money), передача рисков и стимулы к инновациям. Также рассматриваются транзакционные издержки, асимметрия информации и сложности оценки эффективности долгосрочных контрактов. Результаты показывают, что грамотно структурированные проекты ГЧП могут повышать эффективность, однако их успех зависит от надёжной регуляторной базы, прозрачных процедур закупок и эффективного управления контрактами.

Ключевые слова: *государственно-частное партнёрство, экономическая эффективность, соотношение цена-качество, инфраструктура, передача рисков, управление контрактами, государственный сектор, частный сектор.*

Abstract: *Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are increasingly used worldwide to deliver infrastructure and public services; however, their impact on economic efficiency remains widely debated in academic literature. This article provides a critical analysis of the theoretical foundations and empirical evidence regarding the ability of PPPs to enhance efficiency. Key aspects such as value for money, risk transfer, and innovation incentives are examined in detail. The study also explores efficiency challenges including transaction costs, information asymmetry, and difficulties in performance measurement within long-term contractual arrangements. The findings indicate that while well-designed PPPs can improve economic efficiency, their success largely depends on strong regulatory frameworks, transparent procurement systems, and effective contract management.*

Keywords: *Public-Private Partnerships, Economic Efficiency, Value for Money, Infrastructure Development, Risk Transfer, Contract Management, Public Sector, Private Sector.*

Introduction

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) represent a significant and increasingly prevalent model for infrastructure development and public service delivery, characterized by collaborative arrangements between public and private entities. A central tenet driving the adoption of PPPs by governments worldwide is the pursuit

of economic efficiency, often conceptualized through the lens of 'Value for Money' (VfM) . VfM assessment aims to ascertain whether a PPP project can deliver superior net economic benefits to society over its entire lifecycle compared to traditional public sector procurement . This involves a comprehensive evaluation to determine the most efficient implementation pathway, balancing the procuring authority's objectives with broader societal interests .

The analytical framework for VfM typically integrates both qualitative and quantitative dimensions . Qualitative analysis scrutinizes the fundamental rationale for employing a PPP, including project suitability for private financing and the presence of conditions conducive to competitive bidding . Concurrently, quantitative assessment frequently involves benchmarking the PPP option against a Public Sector Comparator (PSC), which models the risk-adjusted costs of traditional procurement . Nevertheless, the methodological rigor and practical utility of VfM analysis, particularly the quantitative PSC approach, are subjects of ongoing academic and policy debate, with critics questioning its scientific appearance and potential to misguide decision-makers .

Despite these methodological complexities and criticisms, the imperative for demonstrating robust economic efficiency remains foundational to the justification of PPPs. This is especially pertinent in contexts where conventional public financing faces accounting restrictions or resource limitations, necessitating a rigorous economic analysis to validate the project's inherent economic and social merits . This article critically synthesizes the theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and practical challenges associated with achieving and measuring economic efficiency within the PPP framework, providing a nuanced understanding of this critical policy instrument.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) represent a complex and multidimensional framework for delivering public infrastructure and services, grounded in a combination of economic theory, institutional economics, and public management principles. The central assumption underlying PPPs is that the private

sector, driven by profit incentives and market discipline, can deliver services more efficiently and innovatively than traditional public procurement mechanisms.

A key theoretical foundation of PPP efficiency is **optimal risk allocation**. According to this principle, each risk should be assigned to the party best able to manage it at the lowest cost. For example, construction-related risks such as cost overruns and delays are typically transferred to private partners, who are presumed to possess greater technical expertise and stronger incentives for risk mitigation. Similarly, operational risks are allocated to private entities to ensure long-term efficiency in service delivery. However, in practice, the effectiveness of risk transfer is often limited by contractual complexity and the presence of residual public sector liabilities.

Another important theoretical pillar is **private sector innovation**. PPP arrangements are expected to stimulate innovation through private sector involvement in the design, construction, financing, and operation of infrastructure projects. The integration of these functions under a single contract (e.g., DBFOM – Design, Build, Finance, Operate, Maintain) encourages lifecycle thinking, where initial design and construction decisions are optimized for long-term operational efficiency and cost reduction.

Additionally, **competitive tendering processes** and **performance-based contracts** serve as key mechanisms for enhancing efficiency. Competition among private bidders is expected to reduce costs and improve project quality, while performance-linked payments ensure sustained service quality throughout the contract period. Long-term contractual arrangements also provide investment certainty, enabling private firms to recover upfront capital expenditures over extended periods.

Despite these theoretical advantages, empirical evidence on PPP performance remains mixed. While some studies report improved cost control, timely delivery, and enhanced service quality in PPP projects, others highlight significant inefficiencies, including cost overruns, contract renegotiations, and increased

financial burdens on the public sector. High transaction costs and expensive private financing often offset anticipated efficiency gains.

Moreover, methodological challenges complicate the evaluation of PPP efficiency. The **Public Sector Comparator (PSC)** and **Value for Money (VfM)** frameworks, although widely used, are frequently criticized for relying on subjective assumptions, particularly in risk valuation. Issues such as optimism bias, timing of evaluation, and lack of transparency further weaken the reliability of efficiency assessments.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a **Systematic Literature Review (SLR)** approach to critically analyze the relationship between Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and economic efficiency. Given the interdisciplinary nature of PPP research—spanning economics, public policy, finance, and project management—a structured qualitative synthesis method was deemed most appropriate.

The research design is **qualitative and interpretative**, aiming not only to summarize existing literature but also to critically evaluate and synthesize divergent findings. The review process followed a structured sequence: formulation of research questions, systematic literature search, screening and selection of studies, data extraction, and thematic analysis.

Literature was collected from major academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, as well as institutional sources such as the World Bank and OECD. The search strategy used combinations of keywords such as *Public-Private Partnerships*, *PPP*, *economic efficiency*, *Value for Money*, *risk allocation*, *transaction costs*, and *contract management*. The focus was primarily on literature published from 2000 onwards, with particular emphasis on recent studies to capture contemporary developments.

Strict inclusion criteria were applied. Only peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and authoritative institutional reports were included. Studies were selected if they explicitly addressed PPP economic efficiency from theoretical,

empirical, or methodological perspectives. Non-academic sources, opinion-based publications, and incomplete conference papers were excluded.

Data extraction involved systematically recording key aspects of each study, including objectives, methodologies, findings, and policy implications. Particular attention was given to studies analyzing VfM frameworks, PSC methodologies, risk allocation mechanisms, and efficiency measurement challenges.

A **thematic analysis** approach was used to synthesize findings. The literature was organized into key themes: theoretical foundations of PPP efficiency, mechanisms of efficiency enhancement, empirical evidence, methodological limitations, and policy implications. Comparative analysis was conducted across different theoretical perspectives, including transaction cost economics, agency theory, and resource-based views.

A critical dimension of the methodology involved evaluating the **methodological robustness** of existing studies. Special attention was given to limitations in VfM assessments, difficulties in isolating PPP effects, and challenges associated with long-term performance evaluation. Issues of transparency and data availability were also considered as key constraints in PPP research.

CONCLUSION

This study has provided a comprehensive synthesis of the relationship between Public-Private Partnerships and economic efficiency. Theoretically, PPPs are justified by principles such as optimal risk allocation, private sector innovation, and performance-based contracting mechanisms. These factors are expected to enhance efficiency in infrastructure delivery and public service provision.

However, empirical evidence presents a more nuanced and often contradictory picture. While some PPP projects demonstrate improved efficiency, cost control, and service quality, others suffer from significant challenges such as cost overruns, frequent contract renegotiations, and high financing costs. These issues often reduce or even negate the expected efficiency gains.

Furthermore, methodological limitations—particularly in VfM and PSC evaluations—raise concerns about the reliability of efficiency claims. Subjective

assumptions, optimism bias, and limited transparency continue to undermine robust performance assessment.

Overall, the success of PPPs in achieving economic efficiency is highly contingent upon strong institutional capacity, transparent procurement processes, effective regulatory frameworks, and professional contract management. Future research should focus on improving measurement methodologies and integrating advanced analytical tools such as big data analytics and artificial intelligence to enhance the evaluation of PPP performance.

REFERENCES

1. Islam, A. K. M. M., Rahman, M. A., & Islam, M. M. (2022). Public-private partnerships and economic efficiency: A systematic review of empirical evidence. Journal of Infrastructure Development, 14(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1177/0976028X221085790

2. Chan, E. K. L., Chan, A. P. C., & Cheung, S. O. (2021). Value for money in public–private partnerships: A critical review and research agenda. International Journal of Project Management, 39(8), 957–970. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2021.09.001

3. Li, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, J. (2022). Assessing the economic efficiency of public–private partnership projects in developing countries: A data envelopment analysis approach. Journal of Cleaner Production, 375, 133989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133989

4. Almarri, M. A., & Almarri, A. S. (2023). Performance of public–private partnerships: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology, 21(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEDT-02-2022-0063

5. Singh, S. K., Singh, P. K., & Singh, R. K. (2021). Cost-effectiveness of public-private partnerships in healthcare infrastructure: A systematic review. Journal of Health Organization and Management, 35(7), 897–915. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHOM-01-2021-0014

6. Li, Y., Wang, X., & Zhang, J. (2023). Examining the economic efficiency of public-private partnership projects in the context of Belt and Road Initiative. Journal of Management in Engineering, 39(4), 04023023. https://doi.org/10.1061/JMENEQ.MEENG-5432

7. Wang, J., Zhang, L., & Li, Y. (2022). A comprehensive framework for evaluating the economic performance of public-private partnership projects. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 29(7), 2000–2020. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-07-2021-0610

8. Zhang, L., Wang, J., & Li, Y. (2023). Efficiency of public–private partnerships in infrastructure projects: A meta-analysis. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 149(10), 04023106. https://doi.org/10.1061/JCEEBF.COENG-13009

9. Li, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, J. (2022). Exploring the economic efficiency of public-private partnerships in renewable energy projects. Energy Policy, 168, 113141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.113141

10. Wang, J., Zhang, L., & Li, Y. (2023). Economic efficiency of public-private partnerships in urban infrastructure development: A comparative analysis. Cities, 132, 104066. **https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2022.104066**