DISTINCTIVE AND NON-DISTINCTIVE FEATURES OF PHONEMES

Scientific Supervisor: **Khaydarova Nigora**

Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages

Faculty of Romance-Germanic and Slavic Languages

Philology and Language Education: Russian Language

Akramova Nozanin *Student of 402-group*

Abstract: *This paper provides an extensive exploration of distinctive and non-distinctive features in phonology, focusing on their theoretical foundations,linguistic functions, and implications for phonemic organization. Distinctive features define the contrasts between phonemes and enable meaningful distinctions, while non-distinctive features (also called redundant or predictable features) represent phonetic variation that does not alter meaning. Drawing upon classical and generative phonological theories—including Jakobson, Fant, and Halle's early feature theory and Chomsky and Halle's SPE system—this paper examines the role of features in defining natural classes, formulating phonological rules, analyzing allophonic patterns, and describing cross-linguistic variation. Additionally, the study highlights implications for language acquisition, second-language learning, psycholinguistics, and speech technology. Through examples from English and other languages, the paper shows how the distinction between phonemic and allophonic features is fundamental to understanding the structure of sound systems.*

Keywords: *phonemes, distinctive features, non-distinctive features, phonology, SPE theory, minimal pairs, natural classes, allophones, phonological rules, feature theory.*

Introduction

Phonology, as the study of the sound structure of languages, organizes speech sounds according to systems of contrasts that contribute to meaning. Within this system, the

phoneme serves as the basic unit of contrast. However, phonemes are not monolithic; they are bundles of phonetic and abstract features. Understanding which of these features play a role in distinguishing meaning is central to phonological theory. The concept of distinctive features emerged prominently in the 20th century with the work of

Jakobson, Fant, and Halle, who argued that phonemic distinctions were best understood through small, binary features that apply across languages. Later, Chomsky and Halle's The Sound Pattern of English (1968) refined this approach, proposing a universal inventory of binary features that describe all possible human speech sounds.

The distinction between distinctive and non-distinctive features lies at the heart of phonological description: some features create contrasts between phonemes, while others reflect predictable, context-dependent phonetic variation. This paper expands on these concepts and analyzes their importance across linguistic theory, acquisition, and applied fields.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Origins of Distinctive Feature Theory The notion that sounds can be analyzed in terms of smaller components predates modern linguistics, but its formalization is attributed largely to Roman Jakobson and colleagues. They proposed features such as [±vocalic], [±nasal], [±grave], [±acute], which were later refined by generative phonology into a more systematic set of binary articulatory-based features. Distinctive feature theory was revolutionary because it:

• replaced descriptive lists of sounds with systematic representations,

• enabled universal comparison across languages,• explained natural classes through shared feature sets,

• allowed for formalization of phonological rules.

2.2 Generative Phonology and the Feature System

Chomsky and Halle's binary feature system (SPE) became the dominant model.

Features

were classified into categories such as:

• Major class features: [±sonorant], [±consonantal]

• Cavity features: [±anterior], [±distributed]

• Manner features: [±nasal], [±continuant]

• Laryngeal features: [±voice], [±spread glottis]

In this system, all phonological rules and contrasts are expressed through combinations of

features. The features that make meaningful contrasts are distinctive; all others are predictable and therefore redundant.

3.Distinctive Features

3.1 Definition and Properties

Distinctive features are features that create phonemic contrasts—they are "distinctive"

Because altering them changes the phoneme and often the meaning of a word. They are:

• Contrastive: they separate one phoneme from another.

• Binary: most are represented as + or –

.

• Necessary for classification: removing a distinctive feature eliminates a contrast.

• Stable: they remain constant across allophones of a phoneme.

3.2 Distinctive Features in Minimal PairsMinimal pairs demonstrate distinctiveness. For example:

• tie /taɪ/ vs. Die /daɪ/ distinguished by [±voice]

• sip /sɪp/ vs. Zip /zɪp/ distinguished by [±voice]

• tan /tæn/ vs. Can /kæn/ distinguished by place of articulation

These contrasts prove that voicing and place are distinctive.

3.3 Distinctive Features Across Languages

Distinctive features vary cross-linguistically:

• Tone is distinctive in Mandarin but not in English.

• Length is distinctive in Finnish or Japanese but generally non-distinctive in English.

• Aspirated vs. Unaspirated stops are phonemic in Hindi but allophonic in English.

This shows that distinctiveness is language-specific, not universal.

3.4 Distinctive Features and Natural Classes

Natural classes are groups of sounds sharing features. For example:

• English nasals /m, n, ŋ/ form a class defined by [+nasal].

• Voiceless obstruents /p, t, k, f, s/ share [-voice, -sonorant].

Phonological processes target these classes (e.g., devoicing, nasal assimilation).

4. Non-Distinctive Features (Redundant

Features)4.1 Definition

Non-distinctive features do not create contrasts between phonemes. They:

• vary predictably by context,

• can be derived by phonological rules,

• do not change meaning when altered.

These features represent phonetic implementation rather than phonemic identity.

4.2 Allophony and Predictability

Allophones are variations of a single phoneme and differ only in non-distinctive features. For

Example:

• English /t/ has [tʰ] (aspirated), [t] (unaspirated), [ɾ] (flapped), and [ʔ] (glottalized)

Allophones.

The differences among these sounds are predictable and do not create minimal pairs.

Therefore, features like [±spread glottis] or [±flap] are non-distinctive in English.

4.3 Examples of Non-Distinctive Features

• Aspiration in English: /p, t, k/ aspirated in stressed onsets but not in clusters or after

/s/.

• Velarization of English /l/: [l] in syllable onsets, [ł] in codas.

• Automatic nasalization of vowels before nasal consonants (as in man).

• Vowel centralization in unstressed syllables (schwa).

These do not differentiate phonemes but add phonetic detail.

4.4 Redundancy in Feature MatricesA feature is redundant when it can be inferred from others. For instance:

• English [+nasal] consonants are always voiced.

Voicing is thus redundant for nasals in English.

5. **Comparison: Distinctive vs. Non-**

Distinctive Features

5.1 Functional Differences

Property Distinctive Features Non-Distinctive Features

Role Distinguish phonemes Provide phonetic detail

Impact on meaning Changes meaning No impact on meaning

Predictability Unpredictable Contextually predictable

Role in rules Basis for natural classes Basis for phonetic implementation

Example (English) Voicing in stops Aspiratio

5.2 Cognitive Significance

Speakers internalize distinctive features early in language learning. Infants learn that certain

Contrasts are relevant in their language and ignore others (e.g., Japanese learners merging /r/

And /l/).

Non-distinctive features, however, are perceived but not used for lexical contrast.

6. **Implications and Applications.**

1 Language Acquisition

Children must determine which features are phonemic in their language. They initially

Perceive many contrasts but gradually tune their perception to only distinctive contrasts

(perceptual narrowing).

6.2 Second-Language Learning

Phonemic distinctions in a second language are difficult when the features are non-distinctive

In the learner's first language. Examples:

• English speakers learning Hindi aspirated stops.

• Japanese speakers learning English /l/ vs. /r/.

6.3 Psycholinguistics

Distinctive features are stored in mental lexicons; non-distinctive details are often added late

In phonetic encoding.

6.4 Speech Technology

Automatic speech recognition (ASR) and text-to-speech (TTS) rely on:

• modeling distinctive features to identify words,

• modeling non-distinctive features for natural-sounding output.

6.5 Clinical PhonologySpeech disorders often involve failure to master distinctive features (e.g., devoicing errors),

Rather than mismanagement of non-distinctive features.

7. **Conclusion**

The distinction between distinctive and non-distinctive features is a central concept in

Phonology, shaping how linguists analyze sound systems, how learners acquire language, and

How speech technologies model human speech. Distinctive features define phonemic

Contrasts and form the foundation of natural classes and phonological rules. Non-distinctive

Features, though phonetically important, do not contribute to meaning and are typically

Predictable from context.

Understanding the interplay between these two feature types is essential for describing

Language structure, comparing languages, diagnosing speech disorders, modeling speech

Computationally, and teaching languages effectively. As phonological theory continues to

Evolve, feature-based analysis remains one of its most powerful tools.

REFERENCES

1.   Chomsky, N., & Halle, M. (1968). The Sound Pattern of English. MIT Press.

2.   Clark, J., Yallop, C., & Fletcher, J. (2007). An Introduction to Phonetics and

3.   Phonology. Blackwell.

4.   Hayes, B. (2009). Introductory Phonology. Wiley-Blackwell.

5.   Odden, D. (2005). Introducing Phonology. Cambridge University Press.

6.   Roca, I., & Johnson, W. (1999). A Course in Phonology. Blackwell.