

LANGUAGE, POWER, AND EMOTIONAL CONTROL IN GEORGE ORWELL'S *1984*

Shodiyeva Ruxshona

Abstract: In this article, George Orwell's *1984* describes a dystopian society in which language functions as a central instrument of power, psychological control, and emotional domination. Through the fictional language **Newspeak**, the Party systematically restricts vocabulary to eliminate subversive thoughts and reshape cognition, illustrating how totalitarian regimes can harness linguistic structures to limit intellectual freedom and emotional autonomy. This article examines the mechanisms by which language structures and enforces power, the role of emotion and psychological conditioning in control, and the broader implications of Orwell's critique for understanding language and authority in real political contexts.

Keywords: Newspeak, Language and Power, Emotional Control, Thoughtcrime, Totalitarian Surveillance, Psychological Manipulation, Doublethink, Propaganda, Linguistic Control.

Introduction.

George Orwell's *1984* (published 1949) paints a haunting vision of a totalitarian regime where language and thought are inextricably linked to political power. In the superstate of Oceania, the ruling Party, led by the omnipresent **Big Brother**, not only monitors behavior through surveillance but actively shapes thought and emotional responses through linguistic and psychological mechanisms. At the heart of this control is a carefully engineered language — **Newspeak** — designed to narrow thought and render dissent *literally unthinkable*. Language here is not a passive medium of communication but an active tool for domination and emotional suppression.

Methods.

This analysis synthesizes literary criticism, linguistic theory, and psychological interpretations of *1984* to explore how Orwell depicts language as a means of control. Primary textual evidence from the novel (e.g., descriptions of Newspeak, Party slogans, and O'Brien's explanations) is complemented by secondary academic commentary on language and power dynamics. Conceptual frameworks include:

Critical discourse analysis — understanding how language shapes power.

Cognitive linguistics — how reduced language limits thought capacity.

Psychological control theory — emotional manipulation through propaganda and surveillance.

Results.

Orwell's fictional language Newspeak is intentionally restricted, with a shrinking

vocabulary aimed at eliminating words for rebellion, freedom, and individuality. By reducing the lexicon, the Party seeks to limit the *range of thought* itself — any concept that could challenge orthodoxy is linguistically unformulable. For example, words such as ‘free’ retain only non-political meanings, removing the very idea of freedom from the mental landscape of citizens.

Language in *1984* is also used to enforce **doublethink** — accepting contradictory truths simultaneously — which undermines logic and emotional stability. Slogans like “War is Peace” and “Freedom is Slavery” illustrate how linguistic inversion becomes a mechanism of control that neutralizes resistance and reshapes reality itself. This control over language directly supports the Party’s claim to define truth.

Beyond linguistic engineering, emotional control in *1984* is maintained through constant monitoring and emotionally charged propaganda. The omnipresent telescreens, the Two Minutes Hate, and systematic rewriting of history engender fear, conformity, and a managed emotional state where genuine emotions are replaced with state-sanctioned reactions. This creates psychological dependency on the Party for meaning and emotional regulation.

Analysis.

Orwell’s depiction of Newspeak aligns with theories of linguistic relativity: if language shapes thought, then controlling language becomes a direct path to controlling cognition. By eliminating words for nuance, dissent, or abstract concepts, the Party makes alternative thought practically impossible. This highlights Orwell’s insight into how political systems can subjugate not just bodies, but minds.

The Party’s influence extends into emotions by dictating acceptable emotional responses and punishing deviations. Emotions like love, loyalty, and grief are repressed or redirected toward Big Brother. The psychological torture in Room 101 breaks down emotional resistance and reconfigures love into loyalty to the Party — a supremely disturbing depiction of emotional control.

While set in a fictional future, *1984* resonates with modern concerns about surveillance, propaganda, and political manipulation of language. Contemporary political discourse often features euphemism, semantic framing, and strategic ambiguity — more subtle than Orwell’s Newspeak but similarly geared toward shaping perceptions and emotional responses. This underscores the enduring relevance of Orwell’s critique.

Thesis.

In *1984*, George Orwell presents language not as neutral communication but as an instrument of power, psychological domination, and emotional regulation. Through Newspeak and the Party’s pervasive surveillance, language becomes a means to shape thought, control emotion, and annihilate resistance. Orwell’s novel thus serves as a cautionary exploration of how totalitarian systems exploit linguistic structures to

consolidate power, revealing the profound political and psychological implications of linguistic control.

Conclusion.

George Orwell's *1984* remains a powerful study of how language and emotion can be harnessed to maintain authoritarian control. By restricting language through Newspeak and manipulating emotional states through propaganda and surveillance, the Party achieves total dominance over thought and feeling. The novel warns of the danger posed when language becomes a tool of power rather than a means of liberation — a theme as relevant today as at the time of its writing.

responses and punishing deviations. Emotions like love, loyalty, and grief are repressed or redirected toward Big Brother. The psychological torture in Room 101 breaks down emotional resistance and reconfigures love into loyalty to the Party — a supremely disturbing depiction of emotional control.

While set in a fictional future, *1984* resonates with modern concerns about surveillance, propaganda, and political manipulation of language. Contemporary political discourse often features euphemism, semantic framing, and strategic ambiguity — more subtle than Orwell's Newspeak but similarly geared toward shaping perceptions and emotional responses. This underscores the enduring relevance of Orwell's critiques.

Thesis.

In *1984*, George Orwell presents language not as neutral communication but as an instrument of power, psychological domination, and emotional regulation. Through Newspeak and the Party's pervasive surveillance, language becomes a means to shape thought, control emotion, and annihilate resistance. Orwell's novel thus serves as a cautionary exploration of how totalitarian systems exploit linguistic structures to consolidate power, revealing the profound political and psychological implications of linguistic control.

References:

- Orwell, G. (1949). *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. Harvill Secker.
- Chaudhary, V. K. (2022). The politics of language and power in George Orwell's *1984*. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Multidisciplinary Sciences*, 5(1), 270–284.
- Toshniyozova, G., & Abduramanova, D. V. (2024). Language and power in George Orwell's *1984*. *Modern Education and Development*, 15(7).
- Hossain, M. M. (2017). Language as the device for psychological manipulation in George Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*: A psycholinguistic analysis. *European Journal of English Language and Linguistics Research*, 5(8).
- Harnessing words, shaping minds: A comparative analysis of Newspeak in *1984* and language manipulation in *Animal Farm*. (2024). *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS)*.
- The use of the Newspeak language to control and manipulate in *1984*, a novel by George Orwell. (2025). *Kibin Essays*.
- 1984 study guide: Newspeak — The language of control.