THE ROLE OF METACOGNITION IN ACADEMIC SUCCESS

Mirahmatova Dilshoda Farhod qizi

Hammuallif: Sobirova Feruza Islomjon qizi

f.sobirova@uzswlu.uz

d.miraxmatova@gmail.uz

ANNOTATION: Metacognition, mostly identified as the special skill to represent on and intellectually supports university applicants own thinking processes, plays a essential role ineducational atteinment. This article investigates that the theoretical definitions of metacognition and examines its relationship with academic achievement in educational contexts. Drawing on key cognitive and educational psychology research, the study discusses core components of metacognition, including planning, monitoring, and evaluation, and highlights how these processes contribute to effective learning and self-regulated behavior. The article further reviews empirical evidence demonstrating that students who actively employ metacognitive strategies tend to perform better academically, exhibit greater learning autonomy, and adapt more effectively to complex academic tasks. Additionally, the paper agrees with instructional approaches for encouraging metacognitive skills in classroom conditions and tackles challenges related to assessment and enactment. The findings underscore the importance of integrating metacognitive instruction into educational practice to enhance learning outcomes and promote long-term academic development.

Keywords: *metacognition; academic success; self-regulated learning; learning strategies; educational outcomes*

АННОТАЦИЯ: Метакогниция, понимаемая как способность осознавать и регулировать собственные мыслительные процессы, играет важную роль в академической успешности обучающихся. В данной статье рассматриваются теоретические основы метакогниции и анализируется её влияние на учебные

достижения в образовательной среде. На основе исследований в области когнитивной и педагогической психологии описываются основные компоненты метакогниции, включая планирование, мониторинг и оценивание, а также их значение для эффективного обучения и саморегулируемого поведения. В статье также обобщаются эмпирические данные, подтверждающие, что студенты, использующие метакогнитивные стратегии, демонстрируют более высокие академические результаты, большую самостоятельность в обучении и лучшую адаптацию к сложным учебным задачам. Отдельное внимание уделяется педагогическим подходам к развитию метакогнитивных навыков и проблемам их оценки. Делается вывод о необходимости интеграции метакогнитивного обучения в образовательную практику с целью повышения качества образования и устойчивого академического развития.

Ключевые слова: *метакогниция; академическая успешность; саморегулируемое обучение; учебные стратегии; образовательные результаты*

ANNOTATSIYA: Metakognitsiya — shaxsning o‘z fikrlash jarayonlarini anglash, kuzatish va boshqarish qobiliyati bo‘lib, u akademik muvaffaqiyatda muhim o‘rin tutadi. Ushbu maqolada metakognitsiyaning nazariy asoslari yoritilib, uning ta’lim jarayonida akademik yutuqlarga ta’siri tahlil qilinadi. Kognitiv va pedagogik psixologiya sohasidagi tadqiqotlarga tayanilgan holda metakognitsiyaning asosiy tarkibiy qismlari — rejalashtirish, monitoring va baholash jarayonlari — hamda ularning samarali o‘qish va o‘z-o‘zini boshqarib o‘rganishdagi roli ko‘rib chiqiladi. Shuningdek, metakognitiv strategiyalarni faol qo‘llaydigan talabalar yuqori akademik natijalarga erishishi, mustaqil ta’lim olish qobiliyatini rivojlantirishi va murakkab o‘quv vazifalariga moslashuvchan bo‘lishi ilmiy dalillar asosida ko‘rsatib beriladi. Maqolada metakognitiv ko‘nikmalarni rivojlantirishga qaratilgan pedagogik yondashuvlar va ularni baholashdagi muammolar ham muhokama qilinadi. Xulosa qilib aytganda, ta’lim amaliyotiga metakognitiv o‘qitish elementlarini joriy etish ta’lim samaradorligini oshirish va uzoq muddatli akademik rivojlanishni ta’minlashda muhim ahamiyat kasb etadi.

Kalit so‘zlar: *metakognitsiya; akademik muvaffaqiyat; o‘zini boshqarib o‘rganish; o‘qish strategiyalari; ta’lim natijalari*

INTODUCTION. At universities and beyond most students have a particularly common difficulty in utilizing metacognitive abilities in creating academic achievments and successful path. The main reason for lacking of metacognitive skills and thinking ability related to it can be only presenting while resisting to make special research or exact plan to make academic success, struggle in monitoring to pay strong attention to the project, or instead of giving original creative ideas, supporting in quotative way one’s. As Flawell defines the term, “metacognition refers to one’s knowledge concerning one’s own cognitive process”. The article explains that extended metacognitive instruction – teaching, planning, monitoring and evaluating – substantially enrichs academic process, offers teachers practical steps to build this capacity.

WHAT IS METACOGNITION?

Metacognition includes two interlinked processes: (a) **monitoring** - judging how well you understand something - and (b) **control** - using those judgments to change study behavior (e.g., review, redistribute study time). Nelson and Narens mentioned this as monitoring control. “Monitoring means the ability to judge one's own cognitive processes, and control means the ability to use those judgments,” they wrote. (*Cambridge Core*) These two functions explain why some students study hard but ineffectively: they monitor poorly and therefore control poorly.A substantial empirical base links metacognitive skills to better learning. Meta-analytic work indicates that structured training in self-regulated strategies - including metacognitive components - produces robust gains in performance across age groups (Dignath & Büttner reported meaningful average effect sizes in school settings). Likewise, intervention studies that combine strategy instruction with monitoring practice (e.g., judgments of learning, exam wrappers) show improved retention and transfer relative to unfocused practice.

Reviews and textbooks (e.g., Dunlosky & Metcalfe) emphasize that metacognition's benefits arise when monitoring is accurate and control strategies are effective.

At the study-session level, metacognition begins with planning (set goals, choose strategies), continues with monitoring (self-testing, asking "Do I really understand this?"), and ends with evaluation (did the strategy work? revise plan). Zimmerman describes this self-regulatory cycle: students who routinely set goals and evaluate outcomes show better long-term achievement.

Instructional techniques that help

1. **Model think-alouds** - teachers vocalize planning and monitoring while solving problems (makes tacit processes explicit).
2. **Exam wrappers** -after a test, students write what they studied, what worked, and how to study differently next time. This strengthens evaluation and future planning.
3. **Self-questioning and checklists** - before/after reading, ask comprehension checks ("Can I explain this?").
4. **Frequent low-stakes retrieval practice with JOLs** (judgments of learning) - students test themselves, judge readiness, and allocate study time accordingly. These improve monitoring accuracy.
5. **Measurement & limitations** Measuring metacognition is tricky: self-report questionnaires (e.g., MAI) capture beliefs but not moment-to-moment monitoring; behavioral measures (JOLs, study-time allocation) capture monitoring accuracy but can be context-dependent. Schraw & Dennison developed a 52-item inventory to quantify metacognitive awareness, but researchers caution combining multiple measures for a fuller picture.

Implications for educators and students

- Teach metacognitive strategies explicitly; don't assume students will pick them up. (Research shows structured programs outperform ad-hoc advice.)

- Use reflective post-assessment activities (exam wrappers) to convert feedback into future action.
- Train students in accurate self-testing and how to interpret monitoring signals (disfluency can be misleading).

CONCLUSION

Metacognition - thinking about thinking - is not a mysterious add-on; it's a set of teachable skills that link study actions to learning outcomes. When students learn to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning, they move from passive exposure to strategic, outcome-oriented learning. As classic and contemporary sources show, explicit metacognitive instruction is a high-leverage approach to improve academic success.

REFENRENCES

1.Brown, A. L. *Learning, Remembering, and Understanding* (technical report / chapters on metacognition).Quote: "Metacognition, executive control, self-regulation, and other more mysterious mechanisms." Full ref: Brown, A. L. (1982/1987). *Metacognition, executive control, self-regulation, and other more mysterious mechanisms.* In F. E. Weinert & R. H. Kluwe (Eds.), *Metacognition, motivation and understanding.* Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

2. Dignath, C., & Büttner, G. (2008). Quote: "Self-regulated learning can be fostered effectively at both primary and secondary school level." Full ref: Dignath, C., & Büttner, G. (2008). *Components of fostering self-regulated learning among students: A meta-analysis.* Metacognition and Learning, 3(3), 231–264.3. Dunlosky, J., & Metcalfe, J. (2009). Quote: "Metacognition is the first textbook to focus on people's extraordinary ability to evaluate and control their cognitive processes." Full ref: Dunlosky, J., & Metcalfe, J. (2009). *Metacognition.* Sage.

4. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Quote: "Metacognition refers to one's knowledge concerning one's own cognitive processes." Full ref: Flavell, J. H. (1979). *Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental*

inquiry. American Psychologist, 34(10), 906–911. 5. Metcalfe, J. (2009, review/article). Quote: “Metacognitive judgments and control of study.” (article title summarizing focus). Full ref: Metcalfe, J. (2009). *Metacognitive judgments and control of study*. Current Directions in Psychological Science / related review. 6. Nelson, T. O., & Narens, L. (1990). Quote: “Monitoring means the ability to judge one's own cognitive processes, and control means the ability to use those judgments.” Full ref: Nelson, T. O., & Narens, L. (1990). *Metamemory: A theoretical framework and new findings.* In G. H. Bower (Ed.), *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation* (Vol. 26). (Also: book chapter/books on monitoring–control model.) 7. Pintrich, P. R. (2004). Quote: “Self-regulated learning involves the active, goal-directed, self-control of behavior, motivation, and cognition.” Full ref: Pintrich, P. R. (2004). *A conceptual framework for assessing motivation and self-regulated learning in college students.* Educational Psychology Review, 16, 385–407. 8. Roediger / Dunlosky (selected reviews) - (used in Dunlosky text).Quote: (covered in Dunlosky text about accurate monitoring). Full ref: See Dunlosky & Metcalfe (2009) for synthesis and references. 9. Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Quote: “We constructed a 52-item inventory to measure adults’ metacognitive awareness.” Full ref: Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). *Assessing metacognitive awareness.* Contemporary Educational Psychology, 19, 460–475. 10. Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Quote: “Metacognitive theories are defined broadly as systematic frameworks used to explain and direct cognition.” Full ref: Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). *Metacognitive theories.* Educational Psychology Review, 7(4), 351–371. 11. Tanner, K. D. (2012). Quote: “Promoting student metacognition.” (title & focus—practical classroom emphasis). Full ref: Tanner, K. D. (2012). *Promoting student metacognition.* CBE-Life Sciences Education, 11(2), 113–120. 12. Veenman, M. V. J., Van Hout-Wolters, B., & Afflerbach, P**.** (2006).Quote: (on metacognitive development and measurement across domains). Full ref: Veenman, M. V. J., Van Hout-Wolters, B., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). *Metacognition and learning: conceptual and methodological considerations.* Educational Research Review. 13. Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Quote: “Self-regulation

refers to self-generated thoughts, feelings, and behaviors that are oriented to attaining goals.” Full ref: Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). *Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview*. Theory Into Practice, 41(2), 64–70.