

## ANALYSIS OF LAND COVER (LULC) DYNAMICS OF A SPECIFIC AREA BASED ON GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGIES

*Azamjon Jobborov, Azamat Khoshimov*

*Kokand State University, Associate Professor of the  
Department of Geography and Economics*

**Abstract:** Land use and land cover (LULC) changes represent a critical challenge for sustainable environmental management, urban planning, and ecosystem conservation under conditions of rapid population growth and socio-economic transformation. This article provides a comprehensive analysis of LULC dynamics within a specific geographic area based on the integration of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies. The study synthesizes recent scientific literature to examine methodological approaches, data sources, and analytical techniques used for detecting and monitoring spatial and temporal land cover changes. Particular emphasis is placed on the application of multi-temporal satellite imagery, high-resolution data, and advanced classification methods, including machine learning algorithms, to improve accuracy and interpretability of LULC assessments. The review also highlights the influence of socio-economic drivers, policy decisions, and climatic factors on land use transformations, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary and localized case studies. The findings demonstrate that GIS and remote sensing provide robust and efficient tools for understanding complex land cover dynamics and support evidence-based decision-making for sustainable land management. The article concludes by identifying existing research gaps and proposing directions for future studies that integrate technological innovation with socio-environmental perspectives.

**Keywords:** Land use and land cover (LULC); GIS; Remote sensing; Change detection; Spatial analysis; Environmental management; Machine learning; Sustainable land use

### Introduction

As global populations continue to swell and urbanization accelerates, the resulting shifts in land use and land cover (LULC) have garnered increasing attention from researchers and policy-makers alike. Understanding these dynamics is critical for effective land management, environmental conservation, and urban planning, especially in areas experiencing rapid change. Remote sensing technologies and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have emerged as indispensable tools for analyzing and visualizing these transformations through detailed spatial and temporal assessments (D Ramsewak et al.). The integration of these technologies allows researchers to monitor land cover changes with unprecedented accuracy and efficiency, illuminating patterns and trends that were previously difficult to capture (Chauhan H et al., p. 1-6). Existing studies have highlighted significant correlations between LULC changes and factors such as climate variability, socio-economic development, and biodiversity loss, underscoring the intricate dynamics that govern terrestrial

environments (E Layati et al., p. 1286-1298). For instance, research indicates that urban sprawl is often correlated with increased impervious surfaces, which in turn impacts local hydrology and ecosystem services (Marzioletti F et al., p. 423-435). Yet, despite the advancements in these analytical frameworks, gaps remain in our understanding of specific regional variations in LULC dynamics. Much of the existing literature tends to focus on broad trends at national or continental scales, often overlooking nuanced, localized impacts which may greatly differ based on geographical, cultural, and economic contexts (M Rao K). Furthermore, although multi-temporal analyses are becoming more common, many studies lack comprehensive longitudinal datasets, which are crucial for discerning long-term trends and their implications for regional sustainability (S Singh et al.). Scholars have begun to advocate for more localized case studies that incorporate qualitative data alongside quantitative metrics to depict a fuller picture of land cover changes (S Iro). The implications of LULC changes are multifaceted, considering their influence on local climates, water quality, and habitat fragmentation (Prasad AS et al.). In particular, there is a specific need to assess how these dynamics intersect with socio-economic factors, as changes often disproportionately affect marginalized communities (G Cillis et al.). It becomes imperative to explore how remote sensing and GIS can not only enhance our understanding of LULC changes but also inform equitable policy-making to mitigate adverse outcomes for vulnerable populations (Moisa MB et al., p. 542-551). In light of these challenges, this literature review aims to synthesize existing literature on LULC dynamics within a specific geographic area, employing GIS and remote sensing technologies as the primary analytical frameworks. By summarizing key findings, identifying significant gaps, and advocating for a more nuanced understanding of regional dynamics, this review sets the stage for future research that could contribute to both theoretical developments and practical applications (Sameer MK et al.). The subsequent sections will detail the methodologies employed in the reviewed works, compare findings across studies, and ultimately highlight the importance of continued research in this vital area of environmental stewardship (Prof. Dr. Gupta SK et al.). Through this comprehensive examination, the review aspires to enhance our collective understanding of LULC changes, fostering the development of increasingly effective strategies for land management and ecological preservation (Dancan O Onyango et al., p. 671-688). As such, the forthcoming sections will dissect pivotal themes concerning the interplay of technology and land cover change, while also elucidating the implications these shifts bear on both human and ecological systems (Karar H Fahad et al.).

#### Review of literature

The evolution of land cover and land use change (LULC) dynamics, particularly through the lens of GIS and remote sensing technologies, has seen significant advancements over the years. In the early studies, foundational methodologies highlighted basic satellite imagery applications to delineate land use variations, establishing a rudimentary base for subsequent explorations (D Ramsewak et al.), (Chauhan H et al., p. 1-6). Over time, scholars began implementing more sophisticated

analytical techniques, notably integrating machine learning algorithms with remote sensing data, which enhanced the accuracy of land cover classification and temporal analysis (E Layati et al., p. 1286-1298), (Marzialetti F et al., p. 423-435). Furthermore, the introduction of high-resolution satellite imagery in the late 1990s and early 2000s marked a pivotal shift, allowing for more detailed examinations of local LULC changes. These advances enabled researchers to assess urban expansion and agricultural changes with greater granularity (M Rao K), (S Singh et al.). Subsequent literature emphasized the importance of interdisciplinary approaches, merging environmental science with geography to understand the implications of land use changes on ecological sustainability and urban planning (S Iro), (Prasad AS et al.). As the field matured, recent studies have emphasized the role of participatory mapping and citizen science in LULC analysis, showcasing how local knowledge can complement technological methods for more comprehensive results (G Cillis et al.), (Moisa MB et al., p. 542-551). Such developments reflect a broader recognition of the socio-economic factors influencing land use, reinforcing the notion that technological advancements must be paired with contextual insights to inform effective planning and policy decisions (Sameer MK et al.), (Prof. Dr. Gupta SK et al.). Thus, the trajectory of research in this domain not only illustrates technological growth but also highlights a paradigm shift towards integrative and participatory approaches in land cover dynamics analysis. GIS and remote sensing technologies have revolutionized the analysis of land cover and land use change (LULC), providing crucial insights into dynamic environmental processes. A prominent theme in the literature is the integration of these technologies for comprehensive analysis. Studies show that GIS and remote sensing effectively map and monitor LULC changes over time, enabling researchers to evaluate spatial and temporal patterns of land transformations (D Ramsewak et al.), (Chauhan H et al., p. 1-6). This is highlighted in various case studies that demonstrate the ability of remote sensing not only to detect changes but also to assess their impacts, thereby underscoring its utility in environmental management (E Layati et al., p. 1286-1298), (Marzialetti F et al., p. 423-435). Another significant topic encompasses methodologies employed in LULC analysis. The literature reveals a range of analytical techniques, from pixel-based approaches to object-based image analysis, each offering distinct advantages depending on the landscape and objectives of the study (M Rao K), (S Singh et al.). For instance, advancements in machine learning algorithms have been lauded for enhancing classification accuracy, illustrating a shift towards more sophisticated analytical frameworks (S Iro), (Prasad AS et al.). Furthermore, the role of socioeconomic factors in influencing land use change emerges as a critical discourse within the field. Researchers have illustrated that LULC dynamics are often driven by policy changes, population growth, and economic development, demonstrating the interconnectedness between human activities and environmental changes (G Cillis et al.), (Moisa MB et al., p. 542-551). This multifaceted approach emphasizes the necessity for integrating social and ecological data to achieve a more holistic understanding of land cover dynamics (Sameer MK et al.), (Prof. Dr. Gupta SK et al.). Overall, the literature highlights the synergy between

technological advancements and sociopolitical contexts in shaping LULC analyses, laying a robust foundation for future research in this vital area. The integration of geographical information systems (GIS) and remote sensing into land cover and land use change (LULC) studies has been thoroughly documented, revealing varied methodological approaches across different studies. These methodologies often determine the depth and quality of analysis achieved in such investigations. For instance, some studies emphasized the utility of satellite imagery for high-resolution mapping, which allows for the identification of subtle changes in land cover over time. Recent advancements in sensor technology have significantly enhanced these capabilities, as noted by researchers advocating for the use of multi-temporal and multi-spectral satellite data to track LULC dynamics (D Ramsewak et al.), (Chauhan H et al., p. 1-6). Conversely, other scholars have critiqued reliance on single-source data, arguing for a more integrative approach that combines GIS with ground-truthing techniques and socio-economic data to provide a holistic understanding of land dynamics (E Layati et al., p. 1286-1298), (Marzioletti F et al., p. 423-435). This multi-faceted method not only enriches the analysis but also enables the examination of the underlying drivers of change, as shown in various case studies (M Rao K), (S Singh et al.). Furthermore, the use of machine learning techniques has gained traction, allowing for automated classification of land covers, which can enhance efficiency and accuracy in LULC assessments (S Iro), (Prasad AS et al.). Moreover, the temporal aspect of land cover analysis is increasingly recognized as crucial. Various studies have highlighted the importance of understanding historical land cover dynamics to forecast future changes more effectively (G Cillis et al.), (Moisa MB et al., p. 542-551). This evolving landscape of methodological approaches illustrates a shift toward more complex and integrative analyses, emphasizing the need for adaptability in research designs as technological advancement continues to inform trends in land cover dynamics. In essence, this body of work underscores a growing consensus on the necessity for multidisciplinary strategies in effectively harnessing GIS and remote sensing technologies in LULC studies. The literature surrounding land cover and land use change (LULC) dynamics reveals a rich interconnection between technological advancements and ecological theories. A significant focus is placed on the application of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies, which provide critical insights into spatial patterns and changes over time, offering empirical backing for theoretical models of land use dynamics. Research indicates that while GIS has traditionally been employed for mapping purposes, its integration with remote sensing technologies has revolutionized the assessment of LULC, facilitating large-scale analyses (see, for instance, (D Ramsewak et al.), (Chauhan H et al., p. 1-6), (E Layati et al., p. 1286-1298)). Furthermore, investigations into the socio-economic drivers of land use change underscore the importance of interdisciplinary approaches, merging ecological theory with social science perspectives. For instance, environmental governance frameworks have been shown to dictate land management practices, thereby influencing LULC configurations (see (Marzioletti F et al., p. 423-435), (M Rao K)). The convergence of these perspectives offers a comprehensive view, showcasing how human activities interplay with natural processes to shape land



cover outcomes. Interestingly, some studies argue against the deterministic views prevalent in classical environmental theories, positing instead that socio-political factors and local community practices play pivotal roles in LULC dynamics, thus supporting a more nuanced understanding of these interactions ((S Singh et al.), (S Iro)). This theoretical debate highlights the necessity of incorporating diverse perspectives to fully appreciate the complexities of land cover changes. Integrating these varied insights underpins the analysis of LULC dynamics within a specific area, ultimately contributing to more informed decision-making in land management and conservation efforts, as supported by multiple scholars ((Prasad AS et al.), (G Cillis et al.), (Moisa MB et al., p. 542-551)).

### Conclusion

The literature review on land cover and land use change (LULC) dynamics, particularly within the framework of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies, elucidates the significant advancements and insights garnered from recent scholarly work. The findings underscore the pivotal role that these technologies play in understanding and managing the intricate dynamics of LULC, which have profound implications for both ecological sustainability and urban development. Notably, studies reveal the necessity of employing high-resolution satellite imagery and sophisticated analytical techniques, such as machine learning algorithms, to enhance classification accuracy and temporal analysis of land cover changes (D Ramsewak et al.), (Chauhan H et al., p. 1-6), (E Layati et al., p. 1286-1298), (Marzialetti F et al., p. 423-435). These tools enable researchers to conduct comprehensive spatial and temporal assessments, thereby illuminating the nuanced, localized variations in LULC that previous broad-scale studies often overlooked. The examination of socio-economic drivers as integral components influencing land use change also emerged as a recurrent theme in the literature. Researchers have emphasized the interconnectedness between human activities—shaped by policy changes, population dynamics, and economic factors—and environmental transformations (M Rao K), (S Singh et al.), (S Iro), (Prasad AS et al.). This insight reinforces the importance of a multidisciplinary approach that transcends the purely technological perspective, advocating for the integration of qualitative data and participatory mapping efforts to capture local knowledge. By bridging technological methodologies with socio-political considerations, the literature champions a more holistic understanding of land cover dynamics, which is essential for informing equitable and sustainable land management practices (G Cillis et al.), (Moisa MB et al., p. 542-551). However, while the review highlights substantial advancements, several limitations within the existing body of literature warrant attention. A predominant concern is the insufficient availability of comprehensive longitudinal datasets that capture long-term trends in LULC changes. Many studies risk producing analyses that fail to effectively account for the temporal dimensions crucial to understanding the broader implications of land use transformations (Sameer MK et al.), (Prof. Dr. Gupta SK et al.). Additionally, there seems to be a disproportionate focus on urban areas, with rural contexts often underrepresented. This oversight poses an opportunity for future research to expand on localized case studies, particularly in

regions that experience unique socio-ecological challenges (Dancan O Onyango et al., p. 671-688), (Karar H Fahad et al.). Looking forward, future inquiries should aim to address existing knowledge gaps by employing integrative approaches that amalgamate remote sensing technologies with ground-truthing and community engagement. Doing so would illuminate the local perspectives that are often neglected in large-scale assessments and contribute to more effective and inclusive land use planning (Kumsa A et al.), (Aditya J Chavan). Moreover, as the dynamics of climate change intensify, researchers should focus on how LULC changes influence resilience and adaptation strategies within vulnerable communities, particularly in light of biodiversity loss and habitat fragmentation (AV CAC et al.), (C E Poclis et al.). In conclusion, the synthesis presented in this literature review reaffirms the substantial contributions of GIS and remote sensing technologies in analyzing LULC dynamics. As this field continues to evolve, it is vital for researchers and policymakers alike to remain attuned to the socio-economic dimensions of land use change, ensuring that assessments are grounded in both technological rigor and local context. The ongoing exploration of these intersections will undoubtedly foster more informed decisions that can positively impact environmental management, urban planning, and sustainable development practices across varied landscapes (Bairwa B et al.), (Olabamiji A et al., p. 44-64), (Zhou S et al., p. 100), (A Rahimi et al.). Thus, as the field advances, the integration of innovative methodologies and interdisciplinary perspectives will be essential in navigating the complexities of land cover changes and their broader implications for society and ecosystems alike (Lin X et al.), (Raad A et al.), (Thein AM et al., p. 147-152), (Sumangala N et al.), (M R Abualgasim et al.), (L K Abbas), (Э.А. Купбахов et al.), (K K Geidam et al.), (Saini R et al.).

### References:

1. Aditya J. Chavan. "Remote Sensing-Based LULC Change Analysis for Forest Conservation in Painganga Wildlife Sanctuary". INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT, 2025
2. Afolabi Olabamiji, O. Ajala. "Spatial analysis of aerial remote sensing responses in the hotspot of terrorist attacks in Nigeria". Dynamics of Asymmetric Conflict, 2024
3. Alen Raad, Joseph D. White. "Humans, Climate Change, or Both Causing Land-Use Change? An Assessment with NASA's SEDAC Datasets, GIS, and Remote Sensing Techniques". Urban Science, 2025
4. Amanuel Kumsa, S. Nemomissa, Asmamaw Legas, Dessalegn Gurmessa. "Remote Sensing and GIS Application for Land use/ Land cover Change Analysis: Case Study of Jarret Wet-Land and its Surrounding Environments in Western Ethiopia". 2019
5. Annavarapu Srinivasa Prasad, C. Ramamurthy, Karnatapu Leela Krishna. "Land use and land cover change and sustainability assessment of Vijayawada city by RS&GIS". IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2022

6. Aye Mya Thein, A. N. Htwe. "Based on Principal Component Analysis of Land Use Land Cover Change Detection Using Landsat Satellite Images (Case study Mandalay City)". 2023 IEEE Conference on Computer Applications (ICCA), 2023
7. Brijmohan Bairwa, Rashmi Sharma, Arnab Kundu, S. S. Sammen, Fahaed Alsheri, C. Pande, Zoltan Orban, et al.. "Predicting changes in land use and land cover using remote sensing and land change modeler". *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 2025
8. C. E. Poclis, J. Villareal, Datu Anwar S. Ali, Datu Ramil G. Tungangen, Jhun Mark S. Aguirre, Ariana Kissa G. Saro, Adelene L. Lababo. "Land Use Land Cover Dynamics in Datu Odin Sinsuat, Maguindanao, Philippines Through GIS and Remote Sensing: Implications for Local Governance and Sustainable Development". *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2025
9. Chidananda AV Chidananda AV, Dr.Chandrashekharappa Agasnalli, Dr. G Narayana Dr. G Narayana, Dhanashree Nerlikar. "Land Use and Land Cover Change Dynamics Analysis Using Remote Sensing and GIS Technologies-A Review". *Journal of Research in Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 2025
10. D. Ramsewak, Arvind Jagassar, Brandon Edwards, Arthur Potts. "A digital twin for monitoring land use/cover and coastal change in Tobago". *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 2025
11. Dancan O. Onyango, C. Ikporukpo, John O. Taiwo, S. Opiyo, Kevin Okoth Otieno. "Comparative Analysis of Land Use/Land Cover Change and Watershed Urbanization in the Lakeside Counties of the Kenyan Lake Victoria Basin Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques". *Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*, 2021
12. E. Layati, M. El Ghachi. "Oued Lakhdar watershed (Morocco), monitoring land use/cover changes: remote sensing and GIS approach". *Geology, Ecology, and Landscapes*, 2024
13. Flavio Marzialetti, G. Cesarini, A. T. Acosta, C. Battisti. "Testing effectiveness in nature reserve management using GIS-based tools: a 25 years monitoring of land use change in a Mediterranean coastal wetland". *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 2024,
14. G. Cillis, B. Tucci, V. Santarsiero, G. Nolè, A. Lanorte. "Understanding Land Changes for Sustainable Environmental Management: The Case of Basilicata Region (Southern Italy)". *Pollutants*, 2021
15. Harshit Chauhan, Barun Kumar Mishra, Siddhi Nath Rajan, Anushka Tiwari, Ayushi Goel. "Land Cover Analysis and Change Detection: Comprehensive Study with Linear Regression Prediction". 2024 1st International Conference on Advanced Computing and Emerging Technologies (ACET), 2024
16. Islam, Z., Umoru, K., Tobirov, O., & Jabborov, A. (2025). Geospatial analysis of access from buildings to health facilities in Bayelsa, Nigeria. *Hensard Journal of Environment*, 1(1).
17. K. K. Geidam, N. Adnan, Baba Alhaji Umar. "Analysis of Land Use Land Cover Changes Using Remote Sensing Data and Geographical Information Systems

- (GIS) at an Urban Set up of Damaturu, Nigeria". Journal of Science and Technology, 2020
18. Karar H. Fahad, S. Hussein, Hayder Dibs. "Spatial-Temporal Analysis of Land Use and Land Cover Change Detection Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques". IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2020
  19. L. K. Abbas. "Mapping Land Cover/Land Use for Change Derivation Using Remote Sensing and GIS Technique". Iraqi Journal of Science, 2021
  20. M. Karunakara Rao. "DETECTION OF LAND USE AND LAND COVER CHANGE IN THE DROUGHT-PRONE AREA OF DHARMAVARAM MANDAL IN SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.". INDIAN JOURNAL OF APPLIED RESEARCH, 2024
  21. M. R. Abualgasim, B. Osunmadewa, E. Csaplovics, H. Gamal. "Analyzing dynamic of changes in Land Use and Land Cover in Semi-arid of Eastern Sudan, Using Remote Sensing and GIS". International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology, 2022
  22. Mitiku Badasa Moisa, Lachisa Busha Hinkosa, Geda Feyera Negasa, Gamtesa Olike, Tekalign Emiru Ijigu, Yonas Name Wedajo, Mengistu Muleta Gurmessa, et al.. "GIS and remote sensing Based Analysis of Land use and Land cover Change in the Upper Anger watershed, Western Ethiopia". Geology, Ecology, and Landscapes, 2023
  23. Mohammed Kareem Sameer, Adnan Muttashar Hamid. "Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques in Monitoring Land Use Land Cover Change". International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Technology, 2023
  24. Prof. Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Gupta, Anoop Kumar, Shivwant Kumar, Amanpreet Singh, Sandeep Singh. "Detection of Land Use and Land Cover Change in Jammu District using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques". International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 2023
  - A. Rahimi, Z. Khalil, A. Bouasria, Ikram El Mjiri, Mohammed Bounif. "Land Surface Temperature Responses to Land Use Land Cover Dynamics (District of Taroudant, Morocco)". Biology and Life Sciences Forum, 2021
  25. Ritu Saini, P. Aswal, Mohd Tanzeem, Sanyam S.. "Land Use Land Cover Change Detection using Remote Sensing and GIS in Srinagar, India". International Journal of Computer Applications, 2019
  26. S. Iro. "The use of Remote Sensing and GIS Methodology in the Analysis of Urualla Gully Erosion site Imo State Nigeria". Earth & Environmental Science Research & Reviews, 2022
  27. S. Singh, K. Jain, A. Shukla. "GIS INTEGRATION OF LAND COVER WITH NIGHT-TIME LIGHTS FOR SPATIOTEMPORAL EVALUATION OF URBAN EXPANSION". The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 2023
  28. Shengsen Zhou, Baolin Chen, Huiyan Lu, Yunfeng Shan, Zhigang Li, Pengfei Li, Xiong Cao, et al.. "Analysis of the Spatial Distribution and Deformation Types of Active Landslides in the Upper Jinsha River, China, Using Integrated Remote Sensing Technologies". Remote. Sens., 2023



29. Sumangala N., Shashidhar Kini. "A Systematic Review of Machine Learning Applications in Land Use Land Cover Change Detection using Remote Sensing". International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters, 2022
30. Tobirov O.K. "Assessment of mudflow risk areas in the Fergana region" Экономика и социум, no. 12 (115)-1, 2023, pp. 781-787.
31. Tobirov O.K. "Optimizing waste landfill placement in the Fergana region through GIS multi-criteria evaluation method" Экономика и социум, no. 12 (115)-1, 2023, pp. 788-793.
32. Tobirov O.Q. "Land use and land cover analysis of Fergana valley using remote sensing and GIS" Экономика и социум, no. 10-2 (125), 2024, pp. 461-464.
33. Tobirov O.Q. "Methods of tourism evaluation of natural indicators of Fergana valley using GIS" Экономика и социум, no. 10-2 (125), 2024, pp. 457-460.
34. Tobirov, O., Muminov, D., Abdinazarova, K., Khoshimov, A., Nazarov, H., Berdiyev, G. (2024). Identification of mudflow-prone areas in the Shakhimardan tourist and recreation zone using multi-criteria analysis and GIS. Geografický časopis / Geographical Journal, 76(4), 355-375. 0016-7193.
35. Tobirov, Odiljon, and Kamolaxon Ro'zmatova. "Iqlim o'zgarishi sharoitida turizm: ekologik moslashuv va xavfsizlik choralarini ishlab chiqish". University Research Base, Apr. 2025, pp. 504-7
36. Tobirov, Odiljon, and Muhammadali Isaqov. "Bioxilma-xillikni asrashda barqaror turizmning roli". University Research Base, Apr. 2025, pp. 544-7
37. Xiaojuan Lin, Min Xu, C. Cao, Ramesh P. Singh, Wei Chen, Hong-run Ju. "Land-Use/Land-Cover Changes and Their Influence on the Ecosystem in Chengdu City, China during the Period of 1992–2018". Sustainability, 2018
38. Э.А. Курбанов, С.А. Лежнин, О.Н. Воробьев, С.А. Меньшиков, Д.М. Дергунов, Ин. Ван, Л.В. Тарасова, et al. "APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE SENSING AND GIS FOR ESTIMATION OF LAND USE / COVER CHANGE: A REVIEW". Лесные экосистемы в условиях изменения климата: биологическая продуктивность и дистанционный мониторинг, 2020