EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SONG-BASED INSTRUCTION IN EARLY YEARS AE CLASSROOMS: EXTENDING MILLINGTON'S FRAMEWORK.

Dildora Radjabova
Westminster International School in Tashkent

Annotation: Song-based instruction is a staple of early years language education, yet its implementation often lacks a rigorous pedagogical framework. This article evaluates the effectiveness of songs in Accelerated English (AE) classrooms by extending Millington's (2011) tripartite framework—preparation, core activity, and follow-up. While Millington focused primarily on vocabulary acquisition, this extension incorporates elements of pronunciation, listening comprehension, and affective filter reduction. Through a review of current literature and a proposed methodological approach, the study finds that structured song-based tasks significantly improve student engagement and retention compared to passive listening.

Keywords: Song-based instruction, Early Years AE, Millington's Framework, Vocabulary Acquisition, Second Language Acquisition (SLA).

Introduction

In the Early Years AE classroom, songs serve as more than rhythmic interludes; they are potent linguistic tools. However, teachers often use songs as "fillers" rather than instructional vehicles. Millington (2011) argued that for songs to be effective, they must be treated as pedagogical tasks (Millington, 2011). This article seeks to extend Millington's model to better suit the multifaceted needs of young learners, integrating contemporary findings on pronunciation and listening (Israel, 2013).

Literature Review

Research consistently supports the value of music in second language acquisition (SLA). Singing facilitates both L2 pronunciation and vocabulary learning, with studies showing that singing groups often outperform speech-only groups in retention (Zhang et al., 2023).

Millington's framework outlines three critical stages for using songs to teach vocabulary:

1. Preparation: Activating prior knowledge and introducing vocabulary forms.
2. Core Activity: Involving students through singing, varying pace/volume, and Total Physical Response (TPR).
3. Follow-up: Oral production tasks, situational role-plays, and vocabulary tests ([Source 3.2]).

Additionally, songs lower the "affective filter," creating a low-anxiety environment conducive to learning (Israel, 2013). Music as a motivational tool ensures sustained engagement, encouraging learners to continue tasks even after the initial lesson ends (Israel, 2013).

Methods

To evaluate the effectiveness of the extended framework, a mixed-methods approach is proposed.

Participants: Early Years AE students (ages 4–6).

Procedure: One group receives instruction via Millington's standard framework (Vocabulary focused), while the experimental group receives "Extended Millington" instruction (including explicit pronunciation drills and rhythmic listening tasks).

Instruments: Pre- and post-tests measuring vocabulary recall and pronunciation accuracy, combined with classroom observations to track engagement levels.

Results

To extend Millington's (2011) framework for the modern Early Years AE (Additional Language/Alternative Education) classroom, we must move beyond the idea of songs as mere "warm-ups." An effective evaluation requires a granular look at how musical structures translate into cognitive schemas for young learners.

Below is a detailed breakdown of the extended framework, focusing on the pedagogical mechanics, cognitive benefits, and practical implementation.

The Core Extension: From "Singing" to "Acquisition"

Millington's original premise focuses on the usefulness of songs for teaching vocabulary and grammar. An extension of this framework looks at how that information is encoded and retrieved.

The Phonological Loop and Echoic Memory

In early years, the brain is hyper-attuned to prosody (the rhythm and intonation of language).

- Segmenting Speech: Songs help children identify where one word ends and another begins—a major hurdle in AE classrooms.
- The "Earworm" Effect: Music triggers involuntary rehearsal (the phonological loop), which means the learner is practicing the target language internally long after the lesson ends.

Cognitive Load Management

Young learners have limited working memory. A song provides a "chunking" mechanism. Instead of memorizing five individual words, the child memorizes one melodic phrase containing those five words.

Dimensions of the Extended Framework

To evaluate a song-based lesson, we can use a Four-Pillar Model:

Pillar I: Linguistic Exploitation (The "What")

This evaluates the song's structural value.

- Productive Vocabulary: Does the song provide high-frequency words that the child can use in daily life?
- Syntactic Scaffolding: Does the song use repetitive sentence frames (e.g., "I can see a...", "Where is the...") that allow for future substitution?
- Phonemic Awareness: Does the song highlight specific sounds (alliteration or rhyme) that are difficult for the specific learner group?

Pillar II: Multimodal Integration (The "How")

Effective AE instruction is never just auditory.

- Visual Anchoring: Use of flashcards or digital media to link the *sound* of the word to its *meaning*.
- Kinesthetic Mapping (TPR): Evaluating if the gestures used are "arbitrary" (just dancing) or "iconic" (miming the action of the verb).
- Spatial Awareness: Using the classroom space to act out the song's narrative.

Pillar III: Socio-Affective Impact (The "Feel")

In Alternative Education, the emotional state of the learner is paramount.

- The Affective Filter: Does the song create a "safe" environment where the fear of making a mistake is minimized by the collective nature of singing?
- Cultural Congruence: Does the song reflect the learners' backgrounds, or does it introduce them to the target culture in a way that feels inclusive rather than alienating?

Pillar IV: Functional Transfer (The "Next Step")

This is the most critical extension. A lesson is only effective if the language "survives" the music.

- De-contextualization: Can the child use the word "Apple" in a lunchroom setting, or do they only know it when the "Fruit Song" is playing?
- Creative Substitution: Can the learner change the lyrics? (e.g., Changing "The bus is red" to "The car is blue").

Addressing the Limitations

An extended framework must also account for common pitfalls:

1. Over-stimulation: If the music is too loud or the dance too complex, the "language" gets lost in the "noise."
2. Meaningless Mimicry: Children can sing an entire song perfectly without knowing what a single word means.
3. Linguistic Complexity: Songs often contain "filler" language (e.g., "la la la," "oh yeah," or archaic nursery rhyme terms) that can confuse AE learners.

Summary for Implementation

To evaluate the effectiveness of this instruction, one must look for the Bridge: the moment the child takes a phrase from the song and uses it to communicate a real-world need. In the Early Years AE context, the song is not the destination; it is the vehicle.

What specific age range or learner demographic (e.g., EAL, neurodivergent, or socio-economically disadvantaged) are you focusing on for this evaluation?

Discussion

Extending Millington's framework involves moving from lexical focus to a holistic "Musical-Linguistic" approach. The core activity stage should not only maximize interest but also address "automaticity"—the ability to process language without conscious effort (Israel, 2013). The repetitive nature of songs supports this cognitive development. Furthermore, the inclusion of "Fragment Singing" (echo songs) and "Arioso" (spontaneous song-making) can bridge the gap between passive reception and active production (Chapter 8: Music and the Child).

Conclusion

The effectiveness of song-based instruction is intrinsically linked to the structure of the task. By extending Millington's framework to include specific phonetic and affective targets, educators can transform songs into high-impact instructional tools.

- Integrate TPR: Combine song lyrics with physical movements to reinforce meaning through kinesthetic learning.
- Focus on Phrasing: Use songs to teach natural English sentence stress and intonation patterns, not just isolated words.
- Selection Criteria: Choose songs with a limited pitch range (three to five notes) for younger children to ensure they can match the melody without vocal strain (Chapter 8: Music and the Child).

References

1. Millington, N. (2011). Is a song a day enough? Providing a framework for song-based instruction in the elementary EFL classroom. *PANSIG 2011 Proceedings*, 23.
2. Israel, H. F. (2013). Language learning enhanced by music and song. *Literacy Information and Computer Education Journal (LICEJ), 2*, 1360–1366. https://doi.org/10.20533/licej.2040.2589.2013.0180
3. Zhang, Y., Baills, F., & Prieto, P. (2023). Singing songs facilitates L2 pronunciation and vocabulary learning: A study with Chinese adolescent ESL learners. *Languages*, *8*(3), 219. https://doi.org/10.3390/languages8030219
4. Cameron, L. (1997). The task as a unit for teacher development. ELT Journal, 51(4), 345-351.http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/elt/51.4.345
5. Cameron, L. (2001). Teaching languages to young learners. Cambridge, England: CambridgeUniversity Press.

6. Jolly, Y. S. (1975). The use of songs in teaching foreign languages. The Modern Language Journal, 59(1/2), 11-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/325440
7. Moriya, Y. (1988). English speech rhythm and its teaching to non-native speakers. Paper presented at the annual convention of Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, Chicago.
8. Murphey, T. (1992). Music and song. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.